

International Comparative Legal Guides

Alternative Investment Funds 2026

A practical cross-border resource to inform legal minds

14th Edition

Contributing Editors:

Jeremy Elmore & Charlotte Nicolson

Travers Smith LLP



iclg

Expert Analysis Chapters

1

The European Venture Capital Funds Landscape: Key Themes

Tosin Adeyeri, Michael O'Brien Kelly, Harriet Sayer & Charlotte Nicolson, Travers Smith LLP

7

Fund Finance Around the World

Jan Sysel, A&O Shearman Fund Finance Team

Q&A Chapters

13

Angola

Pedro Simões Coelho, Carlos Couto, Tiago Peyroteo & Carolina Telles Ferreira, VdA

21

Australia

Austin Bell, Prashanth Kainthaje, Anthony Zhang & Alex Stephens, Johnson Winter Slattery

35

Brazil

André Mileski, Felipe Paiva & Gustavo Paes, Lefosse Advogados

45

British Virgin Islands

Aki Corsoni-Husain, Ian Chambers & Cillene O'Neal, Harneys

53

Canada

Jonathan Doll, Sarah Gardiner & Grace Pereira, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

63

Cayman Islands

Andrew Keast, Stephen Watler, Harjit Kaur & Sharon Yap, Maples Group

74

England & Wales

Jeremy Elmore & Tom Margesson, Travers Smith LLP

86

Finland

Olli Kiuru, Jan Hukari & Anna Vento, Waselius

95

France

Arnaud Pince, Almain Farah Khodabacus, Laudare

105

Germany

Dr. Christian Schmies & Dr. Charlotte van Kampen, Hengeler Mueller

112

Gibraltar

James Lasry, Jeremy Requena & Miriam Bayles, Hassans International Law Firm Limited

122

Ireland

Morgan Dunne, Anna Moran, Deirdre Barnicle & Andrew McGovern, McCann FitzGerald LLP

131

Japan

Koichi Miyamoto, Takahiko Yamada, Akira Tanaka & Yoshiko Nakamura, Anderson Mori & Tomotsune

141

Luxembourg

Corinna Schumacher, Noé Thill & Maximilian Hangler-Garulo, GSK Stockmann

152

Mozambique

Pedro Simões Coelho, Carlos Couto, Patrícia Nunes Mesquita & Carolina Telles Ferreira, VdA

160

Netherlands

Ingrid Viertelhauzen & Reinout de Boer, Stibbe

173

Norway

Andreas Lowzow & Morten W. Platou, Advokatfirmaet Schjødt

180

Poland

Jarosław Rudy, Ewa Lejman, Maciej Marzec & Doroła Brzęk, Adwokaci i Radcowie Prawni Żyglicka i Wspólnicy sp.k.

190

Portugal

Pedro Simões Coelho, Carlos Couto, Patrícia Nunes Mesquita & Rita Pereira de Abreu, VdA

202

Scotland

Andrew Akintewe & Bob Langridge, Brodies LLP

213

Singapore

Bill Jamieson, CNPLaw LLP

227

Sweden

Robert Karlsson, Emilia Kylsäter & Frej Anderson, Magnusson Law

237

Switzerland

Daniel Flühmann & Peter Ch. Hsu, Bär & Karrer Ltd

248

USA

Lance Dial, Joel Almquist & Tristen Rodgers, K&L Gates LLP

Cayman Islands

Maples Group



Andrew
Keast



Stephen
Watler



Harjit
Kaur



Sharon
Yap

1 Regulatory Framework

1.1 What is the key legislation that governs the establishment and operation of Alternative Investment Funds?

The Mutual Funds Act (As Revised) (the “MF Act”) provides for the regulation of open-ended investment funds and mutual fund administrators. Responsibility for regulation under the MF Act rests with the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority (“CIMA”).

The Private Funds Act (As Revised) (the “PF Act”) provides for the regulation of closed-ended investment funds. Responsibility for regulation under the PF Act rests with CIMA.

The MF Act and the PF Act were amended in 2026 to introduce a specific statutory framework for tokenised mutual funds and tokenised private funds. A “tokenised mutual fund” is a mutual fund that has any of its equity interests represented by digital equity tokens, and a “tokenised private fund” is a private fund that has any of its investment interests represented by digital investment tokens. In broad terms, these amendments confirm that tokenised fund interests are regulated within the existing mutual fund and private fund regimes, with additional record-keeping, disclosure, transfer control, reporting and supervisory requirements applicable to tokenised funds. Complementary amendments to the Virtual Asset (Service Providers) Act (As Revised) clarify that the issuance, creation, sale, transfer or other disposition of tokenised equity or investment interests by regulated mutual funds and private funds does not constitute the issuance of virtual assets under that Act.

In addition, the Retail Mutual Funds (Japan) Regulations (As Revised) (the “Japan Regulations”) provide a regulatory regime for retail mutual funds that are marketed to the public in Japan.

CIMA has also published rules and guidance regarding certain operational requirements for CIMA registered mutual funds and private funds, including in respect of the valuation of assets, safekeeping of fund assets, cash monitoring and identification of securities, corporate governance and internal controls.

Although not Cayman Islands law, the broad scope and extra-territorial effect of the EU Directive on Alternative Investment Fund Managers (the “AIFMD”) will capture most types of Cayman Alternative Investment Funds (“AIFs”), regardless of whether they are open-ended or closed-ended and regardless of their legal structure and investment strategy, with very few exceptions, to the extent that they are being marketed or managed in Europe (as such terms are defined for the purposes of the AIFMD).

1.2 Are managers to Alternative Investment Funds required to be licensed, authorised or regulated by a regulatory body?

Generally, there is no local restriction on or regulation of a manager or adviser from another jurisdiction managing an AIF established as a Cayman Islands vehicle. However, a manager or adviser that is itself established or, in the case of a foreign company, registered in the Cayman Islands and which conducts “securities investment business” (“SIB”), whether or not that SIB is carried on in the Cayman Islands, will fall within the scope of the Securities Investment Business Act (As Revised) (“SIBA”).

SIB is defined as being engaged in the course of business in any one or more of the activities set out in Schedule 2 to SIBA. Those activities include managing securities belonging to another person on a discretionary basis and advising in relation to securities, but only if the advice is given to someone in their capacity as investor or potential investor or in their capacity as agent for an investor or a potential investor and the advice is on the merits of that person (whether acting as principal or agent) buying, selling, subscribing for or underwriting a particular security or exercising any right conferred by a security to buy, sell, subscribe for or underwrite a security. “Securities” are defined to include most forms of shares and stock, debt instruments, options, futures, contracts for differences, and derivatives.

Any person within the scope of SIBA conducting SIB must be licensed by CIMA, unless that person is registered as a “Registered Person” under SIBA. A licence may be restricted (meaning that SIB may only be transacted with particular clients) or unrestricted. A licence may also be issued subject to conditions or may be unconditional.

A person carrying on SIB may be exempt from the requirement to obtain a licence but will still be required to be registered as a “Registered Person” under SIBA. In the case of Registered Persons, which is likely to apply to fund managers or advisers, the registration process involves submission of a prescribed application, along with various supporting documentation, to CIMA. CIMA has a specific power to request any other information it may deem fit for the purposes of assessing the application and to request further supplementary information or clarification. A SIBA registration may be granted either subject to conditions imposed by CIMA (such as limits on the nature and scope of the SIB to be carried out), or unconditionally. Registered Person status is not automatic and its grant will depend on CIMA’s assessment of the application. Fees currently payable by a Registered Person are CI\$6,000 (approximately US\$7,317.07) on application and on each annual renewal.

A “Registered Person” includes:

- (a) a company carrying on SIB exclusively for one or more companies within the same group;
- (b) a person carrying on SIB exclusively for one or more of the following classes of person:
 - (i) a sophisticated person (i.e. a person regulated by CIMA or a recognised overseas regulatory authority or whose securities are listed on a recognised securities exchange or who by virtue of knowledge and experience in financial and business matters is reasonably to be regarded as capable of evaluating the merits of a proposed transaction and participates in a transaction with a value or in amounts of at least US\$100,000 in each single transaction);
 - (ii) a high-net-worth person (i.e. an individual whose net worth is at least US\$1 million or any person that has any assets of not less than US\$5 million); or
 - (iii) a company, partnership or trust of which the shareholders, limited partners (“LPs”) or unitholders are all sophisticated persons or high-net-worth persons, provided always that such person has a registered office or a place of business in the Cayman Islands provided by a licensed corporate services provider (such as, for example, Maples Corporate Services Limited); and
- (c) a person who is regulated by a recognised overseas regulatory authority in the country or territory (other than the Cayman Islands) in which the SIB regulated activity is being conducted.

1.3 Are Alternative Investment Funds themselves required to be licensed, authorised or regulated by a regulatory body?

Open-ended AIFs are required to be regulated under the MF Act, and closed-ended AIFs are required to be regulated under the PF Act, unless an exemption applies.

An investment fund qualifies as a “mutual fund” and is required to be regulated under the MF Act if:

- (a) it is a company, partnership or unit trust carrying on business in or from the Cayman Islands;
- (b) it issues “equity interests” to investors (i.e. shares, partnership interests or trust units that carry an entitlement to participate in profits or gains and which may be redeemed or repurchased at the option of those investors prior to winding up); and
- (c) its purpose or effect is the pooling of investor funds with the aim of spreading investment risks and enabling investors to receive profits or gains from investments.

There are four categories of mutual funds:

- (1) a licensed fund under section 4(1)(a) of the MF Act;
 - (2) an administered fund under section 4(1)(b) of the MF Act;
 - (3) a registered fund under section 4(3) of the MF Act; and
 - (4) a limited investor fund under section 4(4) of the MF Act.
- (1) A mutual fund licence will be granted if CIMA considers that the promoter is of sound reputation, there exist persons of sufficient expertise to administer the fund, who are of sound reputation, and that the business of the fund and any offer of equity interests will be carried out in a proper way. Detailed information is required concerning the directors, trustee or general partner (“GP”) of the mutual fund (as the case may be) and the service providers. However, few investment funds are fully licensed under the MF Act, as this is generally only necessary for retail funds.

- (2) Registration as an administered fund requires the designation of a Cayman Islands licensed mutual fund administrator as the fund’s principal office. The administrator must satisfy itself that the fund’s promoters are of sound reputation, that the fund’s administration will be undertaken by persons with sufficient expertise who are also of sound reputation and that the fund’s business and its offering of equity interests will be carried out in a proper way. The administrator is obliged to report to CIMA if it has reason to believe that a mutual fund for which it provides the principal office (or any promoter, director, trustee or GP thereof) is acting in breach of the MF Act or may be insolvent or is otherwise acting in a manner prejudicial to its creditors or investors. This imposes a quasi-regulatory role and an obligation to monitor compliance on the administrators themselves, and generally higher fees charged by administrators in relation to this category of investment fund.
- (3) Mutual funds registered under section 4(3) of the MF Act are divided into three sub-categories:
 - (a) where the minimum investment per investor is at least US\$100,000;
 - (b) where the equity interests are listed on a recognised stock exchange; or
 - (c) where the mutual fund is a “master fund” (as defined in the MF Act) and either:
 - (i) the minimum investment per investor is at least US\$100,000; or
 - (ii) the equity interests are listed on a recognised stock exchange.
- (4) Limited investor funds registered under section 4(4) of the MF Act have 15 or fewer investors, a majority in number of whom have the power to appoint and remove the fund’s directors, GP or trustee, as applicable.

A master fund is a Cayman Islands entity that issues equity interests to at least one feeder fund (either directly or through an intermediate entity established to invest in the master fund) that is itself regulated by CIMA under the MF Act that holds investments and conducts trading activities for the principal purpose of implementing the overall investment strategy of the regulated feeder.

An investment fund qualifies as a “private fund” and is required to be registered under the PF Act if it is a company, unit trust or partnership that offers or issues or has issued investment interests (being interests that are not redeemable or repurchasable at the option of the investor), the purpose or effect of which is the pooling of investor funds with the aim of enabling investors to receive profits or gains from such entity’s acquisition, holding, management or disposal of investments, where:

- (a) the holders of investment interests do not have day-to-day control over the acquisition, holding, management or disposal of the investments; and
- (b) the investments are managed as a whole by or on behalf of the operator of the private fund, directly or indirectly, but does not include:
 - (i) a person licensed under the Banks and Trust Companies Act (As Revised) or the Insurance Act (As Revised);
 - (ii) a person registered under the Building Societies Act (As Revised) or the Friendly Societies Act (As Revised); or
 - (iii) any non-fund arrangement.

In relation to tokenised funds, tokenised mutual funds and tokenised private funds remain subject to the MF Act and PF Act respectively, rather than forming a separate category of

regulated fund. The 2026 amendments impose additional obligations on tokenised funds, including requirements to obtain and securely maintain records relating to the issuance, creation, sale, transfer and ownership of interests represented by digital tokens, to make those records available to CIMA within any specified period, and to comply with any other statutory requirements applicable to tokenised funds.

1.4 Does the regulatory regime distinguish between open-ended and closed-ended Alternative Investment Funds (or otherwise differentiate between different types of funds or strategies (e.g. private equity vs hedge funds))?

Yes, open-ended funds are governed by the MF Act and closed-ended funds are governed by the PF Act. The key distinction between open-ended and closed-ended funds is the ability of investors to voluntarily redeem or repurchase some or all of their investment prior to winding up.

1.5 What does the authorisation process involve for managers and, if applicable, Alternative Investment Funds, and how long does the process typically take?

Generally, there is no authorisation process for managers from another jurisdiction managing an AIF established as a Cayman Islands vehicle. However, if a manager is established in or, in the case of a foreign company, registered in the Cayman Islands, which acts as the investment manager to an AIF, such manager will likely be subject to licensing or registration with CIMA under SIBA (see question 1.2 above for further details on the authorisation process under SIBA).

For AIFs, CIMA has established a secure Regulatory Enhanced Electronic Forms Submission (REEFS) web portal, which enables the online submission of mutual fund and private fund applications and documentation. An application for a section 4(3) mutual fund, limited investor and private fund involves the submission of:

- (a) the fund's offering document, other than (i) in the case of a master fund, which will often not have an offering document separate from that of its feeder fund(s), and (ii) in the case of a private fund and a limited investor fund, a summary of terms or marketing materials may be submitted if the fund does not have an offering document;
- (b) the relevant statutory application form;
- (c) consent letters from the fund's auditor and administrator (except in respect of a private fund that does not engage an external administrator);
- (d) the relevant fees (currently a registration fee of US\$5,030, initially and annually, and a separate application fee of US\$366, other than in the case of a master fund that has a registration fee of currently US\$3,750 initially and annually);
- (e) for section 4(3) mutual funds only, an affidavit or declaration signed by an operator of the fund relating to the authorisation of submission of the online application; and
- (f) a structure diagram for private funds.

CIMA's practice with these funds is to make the effective date of the application the date on which all application requirements have been submitted. For a regulated mutual fund, an application must be completed prior to the fund's launch. For a private fund, an application must be submitted to CIMA within 21 days after its acceptance of capital commitments from investors or, if earlier, prior to the private fund receiving any capital contributions for the purposes of investments.

The application process is more involved for licensed and administered mutual fund applications.

The registration process for tokenised mutual funds and tokenised private funds follows the same CIMA application procedures described above, with additional information regarding the use of distributed ledger technology and digital token arrangements required to be disclosed in the offering document (see question 3.2 below).

1.6 Are there local residence or other local qualification or substance requirements for managers and/or Alternative Investment Funds?

Generally, there are no local residence or other local qualification or substance requirements for managers from another jurisdiction managing an AIF established as a Cayman Islands vehicle. However, if a manager is established in or, in the case of a foreign company, registered in the Cayman Islands, which acts as the investment manager to an AIF, such manager may be subject to licensing or registration with CIMA under SIBA (see question 1.2 above for further details on the applicable requirements under SIBA). Such managers acting as a discretionary manager of an AIF may also be subject to local substance requirements under the Cayman Islands International Tax Co-operation (Economic Substance) Act (As Revised) (see question 6.7 below for further details).

For AIFs, each Cayman Islands regulated mutual fund and private fund must appoint a local auditor approved by CIMA.

The Directors Registration and Licensing Act (As Revised) requires that the directors (both natural persons and corporate directors) of a corporate mutual fund regulated by CIMA or a certain type of "Registered Person" registered with CIMA under SIBA be either registered or licensed with CIMA. The registration process is undertaken online at the "CIMA Director Gateway".

1.7 Are any service providers specifically required to be appointed in respect of Alternative Investment Funds?

Every regulated mutual fund and private fund must have an approved local auditor and will generally have an investment manager/adviser and, more so for regulated mutual funds, an administrator (which, for an administered mutual fund, must be a licensed mutual fund administrator).

Although not required, it is becoming market practice for corporate regulated mutual funds to appoint independent directors. Such independent directors are not required to be based in the Cayman Islands but often are, due to the depth of the Cayman Islands fiduciary services industry.

1.8 What rules apply to foreign managers wishing to manage funds domiciled in your jurisdiction?

Provided that the activities of a foreign manager/adviser, including any transactions entered into, have not been and will not be carried on through a place of business in the Cayman Islands, or the fund is not subject to the Japan Regulations, there are no additional rules.

1.9 Are advisers (including foreign advisers) to Alternative Investment Funds required to be licensed, authorised or regulated by a regulatory body?

See question 1.2 above for further details.

2 Fund Structures

2.1 What are the principal legal structures used for Alternative Investment Funds (including reference where relevant to local asset holding companies)?

The three types of vehicles most commonly utilised by Cayman Islands investment funds are: exempted companies; exempted limited partnerships (“ELPs”); and exempted unit trusts. The term “exempted” in this context means that the vehicle is eligible to apply to the Cayman Islands government for an undertaking (lasting 20 to 50 years depending on the type of vehicle) that if any taxation is introduced in the Cayman Islands during the period to which the undertaking applies, such taxation will not apply to the vehicle in question.

Cayman Islands limited liability companies (“LLCs”), which broadly operate in a similar manner to Delaware LLCs, may also be used in fund structures; however, we have not seen them used extensively to date for open-ended funds.

Exempted companies are the most common vehicle for open-ended funds (including master funds). Exempted companies are also the vehicle of choice for asset holding companies set up in the Cayman Islands.

By contrast, the ELP is usually the vehicle of choice for closed-ended or private equity funds. The Cayman ELP concept is similar to that which applies in the United States and indeed the Exempted Limited Partnership Act (As Revised) (the “ELP Act”) is based substantially on the Delaware equivalent (although a Cayman Islands partnership does not have separate legal personality). While exempted companies are extremely flexible in the extent to which voting and economic rights can be mixed and matched across separate classes of shares, companies have certain limitations that do not apply to ELPs. Fewer statutory rules govern the approvals processes within an ELP, which makes them generally more flexible and suitable for closed-ended vehicle purposes.

Unit trusts are the vehicle primarily used for investors in Japan, where the demand is driven by familiarity with the unit trust structure and historical local tax benefits relating to trust units as opposed to other forms of equity interest.

2.2 Do any of the legal structures operate as an umbrella structure with several sub-funds, and if yes, is segregation of assets between the sub-funds a legally recognised feature of the structure?

Yes. There are two Cayman Islands vehicles that may operate as an umbrella structure having several sub-funds: (1) the Segregated Portfolio Company (“SPC”); and (2) the Umbrella Unit Trust.

The SPC is a company established under the Companies Act (As Revised) of the Cayman Islands that permits the creation of one or more segregated portfolios in order to segregate the assets and liabilities of the SPC held within or on behalf of a segregated portfolio from the assets and liabilities of the SPC held within or on behalf of any other segregated portfolio of the SPC. The segregation of assets and liabilities of a segregated portfolio with an SPC structure is legally recognised under Cayman Islands law. Certain statutory duties are imposed on the directors of the SPC to establish and maintain procedures to segregate the assets and liabilities as between segregated portfolios. There are also certain statutory requirements that must be adhered to when executing documents involving SPCs and it is important to seek expert advice to ensure appropriate drafting is implemented to address this and risks around the potential for a wider impact to an SPC platform if a single

segregated portfolio becomes insolvent. It should also be noted that it is not necessarily the case that the laws of other jurisdictions will recognise the limitation of recourse provided for SPCs.

The Umbrella Unit Trust is established under a master trust deed and subject to the Trust Act (As Revised) of the Cayman Islands, which consists of a master trust and permits the creation of one or more separate series trusts. Each series trust is structured to act as a separate sub-fund whereby the assets and liabilities of the relevant series trust are contractually segregated from the assets and liabilities of another series trust within the Umbrella Unit Trust. Unlike an SPC, however, the Umbrella Unit Trust does not have separate legal personality. The Trustee acts as principal in respect of the Umbrella Unit Trust and will be liable for all of the debts and obligations of the Umbrella Unit Trust to the extent the trust assets are insufficient. The master trust deed will generally include a requirement to ensure contracts with third parties include appropriate limited recourse wording to limit the liability of the relevant series trust to such third party to the Trustee’s ability to be indemnified out of the trust assets of that particular series trust and, once exhausted, the liability of the Trustee to such third party is extinguished.

2.3 Please describe the limited liability of investors in respect of the different legal structures used for Alternative Investment Funds.

The limited liability of investors in a Cayman Islands investment fund depends upon the nature of the vehicle used and whether the investor has agreed to contribute additional funds to that vehicle pursuant to the terms of the governing documentation.

With exempted companies limited by shares, the liability of the investors is limited to the amount unpaid on their shares pursuant to the constitutional documents of the company and in accordance with the Companies Act (As Revised).

LPs of an ELP are not liable for the debts or obligations of the ELP under the ELP Act, (a) save as provided by the terms of the applicable partnership agreement, and (b) subject to the provisions of the ELP Act (i) providing that an LP who takes part in the conduct of the business of the ELP may lose its limited liability with respect to a third party who deals with that ELP and who reasonably believes such LP to be a GP of such ELP, and (ii) providing for clawback of capital distributions (together with interest) made to an LP within six months of the ELP becoming insolvent where the LP had actual knowledge of the insolvency.

Investors who are unitholders of an exempted trust must look to the wording of the relevant declaration of trust to provide them with limited liability status and protection.

Despite the limited liability nature of an equity interest purchased by an investor, it is common practice for the subscription and certain transaction documents of Cayman Islands investment funds to impose payment obligations on investors over and above the obligation to pay for their investment. Such additional obligations regularly include indemnification for misrepresentations and the requirement to repay excess redemption or withdrawal proceeds that were calculated and paid on the basis of unaudited data.

2.4 What are the principal legal structures used for managers and advisers of Alternative Investment Funds?

The principal structures are exempted companies and LLCs.

2.5 Are there any limits on the manager's ability to restrict redemptions in open-ended funds or transfers in open-ended or closed-ended funds?

Not as a general matter of Cayman Islands law; the ability to redeem or transfer equity interests in a fund and any restrictions will be governed by the fund's governing documents.

2.6 Are there any legislative restrictions on transfers of investors' interests in Alternative Investment Funds?

Not as a general matter of Cayman Islands law, subject to restrictions on the assignment of certain liabilities by LPs pursuant to the ELP Act, the transferee meeting any minimum investment requirements that may apply and, in the case of interests represented by digital tokens in tokenised mutual funds or tokenised private funds, approval of the operator of the relevant tokenised fund in accordance with the offering document. Proposed transferees will need to satisfy applicable Know Your Client ("KYC") and anti-money laundering ("AML") requirements. Sanctions imposed by the Cayman Islands and internationally will also need to be considered in respect of any transferor or transferee.

2.7 Are there any other limitations on a manager's ability to manage its funds (e.g. diversification requirements, asset stripping rules)?

Not as a general matter of Cayman Islands law (assuming that the fund is not subject to the Japan Regulations).

2.8 Does the fund remunerate investment managers through management fee or performance fee/carried interest or by a combination of management fee and carried interest? In the case of carried interest, how is this typically structured?

Remuneration of the investment manager is ultimately a commercial matter for the particular fund. For open-ended funds, the investment manager is typically remunerated through a combination of a management fee (typically less than 2% of the fund's net asset value ("NAV") and a performance fee (typically between 10% and 20% of the net increase in the fund's NAV)). For closed-ended funds, the GP or its delegate will typically receive a management fee and the GP will typically receive carried interest. The carried interest is generally paid to the GP under a waterfall arrangement whereby the carried interest is only paid after investors have received their preferred return.

3 Marketing

3.1 What is the key legislation that governs the production and use of marketing materials?

The MF Act requires that every regulated mutual fund issue an offering document, which must describe the equity interests in all material respects and contain such other information as is necessary to enable a prospective investor to make an informed decision whether to invest. CIMA has also issued a rule relating to the contents of offering documents for all funds licensed or registered under the MF Act.

For private funds registered under the PF Act, to the extent any offering document (or other document that solicits investors to

invest in a private fund) is issued to investors, it must comply with CIMA's rule on the contents of marketing materials.

The Japan Regulations also set out additional disclosure requirements for the prospectus of a retail mutual fund, which are more onerous.

3.2 What are the key content requirements for marketing materials, whether due to legal requirements or customary practice?

An offering document of a regulated mutual fund must contain the disclosures set out in CIMA's rule on the contents of offering documents, which include the following:

- (a) details of the name of the fund, date of establishment of the fund, its registered office, fiscal year and its operator together with biographies;
- (b) a description of the fund's investment objectives, policy, and restrictions;
- (c) a description of the fund's investment manager or adviser, together with biographies of the portfolio managers and information regarding remuneration;
- (d) the names and addresses of the fund's other service providers, together with details of the services to be performed and remuneration;
- (e) the classes of interests available for investment or issue, together with descriptions of any minimum investment, eligibility requirements and subscription procedures;
- (f) details of the principal rights and restrictions attaching to the fund's equity interests, including with respect to currency, voting, circumstances of winding up or dissolution and the procedures and conditions for repurchases, redemptions or withdrawals of such equity interests, including suspensions;
- (g) the NAV calculation policy; and
- (h) details of the fund's material risks and potential conflicts of interest.

Where a private fund has an offering document or marketing materials, CIMA's rule on the contents of marketing materials applies. A private fund's offer document (if any) or summary of terms (required for registration under the PF Act) should contain, as a minimum, the name and address of the fund, the name and address of the GP (if the fund is an ELP), the name, address and biographies of the principals of the operators of the fund, the name and address of the manager, the name, address and biographies of the principals/senior officers of the manager, the base currency of offering, the minimum investment, the valuation policy, the applicable audit principles, the financial year end, the term, the investment strategy, applicable risk factors and conflicts of interest, applicable Cayman Islands laws and regulations (including the AML regulations), and the name and address of the administrator (if any), the custodian (if any), the auditor, the Cayman Islands legal adviser and registered office service provider.

For tokenised mutual funds and tokenised private funds, the offering document must disclose any risks specific to the relevant digital equity tokens or digital investment tokens, including considerations regarding cybersecurity, transferability and any other potential risks identified by CIMA, and must set out how those risks are addressed or mitigated for investors. In practice, constitutional, offering and subscription documents for a tokenised fund should also address the use of distributed ledger technology, the practical requirements for subscription, ownership and transfer of digital tokens, and the governance of any smart contracts or other automated blockchain processes.

3.3 Do marketing documents need to be registered with or approved by the local regulator?

The offering document of a registered mutual fund must be filed with CIMA as part of the initial application; however, it is not technically subject to approval by CIMA prior to its circulation to prospective investors. An amended offering document or supplement must be filed with CIMA within 21 days in the event of material changes, where there is a continuing offering.

A private fund is required to submit an offer document (if any) or a summary of terms for registration under the PF Act. Details of any material changes to the information submitted on the registration of a private fund must be filed with CIMA within 21 days of such change (or becoming aware of such change).

3.4 What restrictions (and, if applicable, ongoing regulatory requirements) are there on marketing Alternative Investment Funds?

Generally, no offer or invitation to subscribe for equity interests in a Cayman Islands investment fund may be made to the “public in the Cayman Islands”. The range of persons that may be considered excluded from the “public in the Cayman Islands” will depend upon the fund’s legal structure and whether or not the fund is regulated under the MF Act or the PF Act, but it is generally likely that Cayman Islands exempted companies, LLCs, ELPs and exempted trusts engaged in offshore business and foreign companies registered in the Cayman Islands will not be considered part of the “public in the Cayman Islands”.

Prior to the filing for registration of a private fund with CIMA, the private fund may only be marketed to high-net-worth or sophisticated persons.

3.5 Is the concept of “pre-marketing” (or equivalent) recognised in your jurisdiction? If so, how has it been defined (by law and/or practice)?

No, it is not.

3.6 Can Alternative Investment Funds be marketed to retail investors (including any specific treatment for high-net-worth individuals or semi-professional or similar categories)?

Yes, in respect of section 4(1)(a) or section 4(1)(b) funds under the MF Act. In respect of section 4(3) mutual funds, yes, subject to the US\$100,000 minimum investment or the equity interests being listed on a recognised stock exchange. There is no statutory minimum investment amount for a private fund.

3.7 Do any additional regimes need to be considered where investors are retail investors?

In addition to the MF Act, where retail mutual funds are marketed to the public in Japan, the fund will also be subject to the Japan Regulations. The Japan Regulations set out additional disclosure requirements for the prospectus of a retail mutual fund, which are more onerous than the MF Act.

3.8 What qualification requirements must be met in relation to prospective investors?

Potential investors will be subject to due diligence and sanction checks in accordance with the Cayman Islands’ AML regime.

3.9 Are there additional restrictions on marketing to public bodies such as government pension funds?

No, there are not.

3.10 Are there any restrictions on the participation in Alternative Investment Funds by particular types of investors (whether as sponsors or investors)?

No, there are not.

3.11 Are there any restrictions on the use of intermediaries to assist in the fundraising process?

No, there are not.

4 Investments

4.1 Are there any restrictions on the types of investment activities that can be performed by Alternative Investment Funds?

There are no such restrictions on investment strategy subject to applicable local regulatory laws.

4.2 Are there any limitations on the types of investments that can be included in an Alternative Investment Fund’s portfolio, whether for diversification reasons or otherwise?

No, there are not.

4.3 Are there any local regulatory requirements that apply to investing in particular investments (e.g. derivatives or loans)?

No, there are not.

4.4 Are there any restrictions on borrowing by the Alternative Investment Fund?

No, there are no such restrictions (assuming that the fund is not subject to the Japan Regulations).

4.5 Are there any restrictions on who holds the Alternative Investment Fund’s assets?

There are no such restrictions on mutual funds regulated under the MF Act. However, regulated mutual funds are required to comply with CIMA’s rules relating to NAV Calculation and the Segregation of Assets.

Private funds regulated under the PF Act are also subject to CIMA’s rules relating to NAV Calculation and the Segregation of Assets. Further, a private fund is required to appoint a custodian unless it has notified CIMA and it is neither practical nor proportionate to do so having regard to the nature of the private fund and the type of assets it holds. If no custodian is appointed, a private fund must appoint an administrator or another independent third party or (subject to disclosing and managing any conflicts) the operator or investment manager/adviser to verify that the private fund holds title to its assets, and to maintain a record of those assets.

5 Disclosure of Information

5.1 What disclosure must the Alternative Investment Fund or its manager make to prospective investors, investors, regulators or other parties, including on environmental, social and/or governance factors?

Cayman Islands exempted companies, LLCs and ELPs are required to maintain statutory registers and make certain filings with the Cayman Islands Registrar and CIMA.

The register of limited partnership interests of an ELP is required by the ELP Act to be open to inspection during all business hours by all partners, subject to any express or implied term to the contrary of the limited partnership agreement, or by any other person with the consent of the GP.

While there is currently no local regulation requiring disclosure for funds engaged in environmental, social and/or governance (“ESG”) factors, interest from both investors and managers regarding these factors has resulted in additional voluntary disclosure by such funds. The Cayman Islands government, through the Ministry of Financial Services, is currently reviewing ESG-related trends and developments in the global market, and may eventually propose a voluntary framework which parties may choose to adopt if they wish to implement an ESG component to their contractual arrangements. In parallel, CIMA may develop a standardised disclosure and supervisory regime for regulated AIFs that voluntarily elect to be subject to ESG investment criteria and reporting obligations.

5.2 Are there any requirements to provide details of participants (whether owners, controllers or investors) in Alternative Investment Funds or managers established in your jurisdiction (including details of investors) to any local regulator or record-keeping agency, e.g., for the purposes of a public (or non-public) register of beneficial owners?

The Beneficial Ownership Transparency Act (As Revised) (“BOTA”) was brought into force on 31 July 2024, which modified the beneficial ownership regime that had been in place in the Cayman Islands since 2017 in a manner that aligns with equivalent regimes in other jurisdictions. BOTA extends the application of the beneficial ownership regime to most Cayman Islands entities and removes a number of exemptions that existed under the previous regime.

BOTA applies to all “legal persons”, which includes Cayman companies, LLCs, LLPs, limited partnerships, ELPs, foundation companies and certain other legal persons prescribed in regulations, with such persons being required to complete and maintain a beneficial ownership register at their Cayman Islands registered office with a licensed corporate service provider. Cayman Islands trusts and non-Cayman vehicles, including foreign entities that are registered in the Cayman Islands, are out of scope of BOTA.

Relevant to AIFs or managers established in the Cayman Islands, BOTA provides certain legal persons with an “alternative route to compliance” (meaning the legal person would not be required to report their beneficial owners or establish a beneficial ownership register, but rather report limited “required particulars”).

For example, where a legal person (e.g. an AIF) is registered with CIMA under the MF Act or PF Act, it may choose to comply with BOTA by either satisfying obligations under the default regime (as highlighted above) or avail itself of an investment fund-specific alternative route to compliance. A

registered AIF that elects to pursue the alternative option will not be required to maintain a register of its beneficial owners. Instead, Cayman Islands-domiciled AIFs registered with CIMA as mutual or private funds that rely upon the alternative route to compliance will be required to supply the contact details of certain Cayman Islands service providers, such as the registered office services provider or a licensed fund administrator. That contact person will be required to provide beneficial ownership information, on behalf of the registered AIF, to the competent authority on request within 24 hours (or such longer period as may be specified in the competent authority).

Additionally, a legal person who is: (i) listed on the Cayman Islands Stock Exchange or an approved stock exchange (including subsidiaries of a listed entity); or (ii) licensed under certain Cayman Islands regulatory laws is also able to take advantage of an alternate route to compliance. For example, a legal person (e.g. a manager) licensed with CIMA under the SIBA regime would fall under category (ii) above and be able to pursue an alternate route to compliance. However, a manager registered as a “Registered Person” under the SIBA regime would not fall under this category. See question 1.2 above for further details on SIBA and the various forms of registration/licensing under the SIBA regime.

Finally, the Beneficial Ownership Transparency (Legitimate Interest Access) Regulations (“LIA Regulations”), which commenced on 28 February 2025, set out the framework for certain members of the public to apply to the competent authority to request access to beneficial ownership information relating to a legal person. Under the LIA Regulations, the competent authority may only accept requests for beneficial ownership information where the applicant is able to establish a “legitimate interest” in receiving the requested information by meeting two criteria, namely, (i) the applicant must provide credentials confirming they fall within one of the permitted categories (such as being engaged in journalism or *bona fide* academic research), and (ii) they must demonstrate a legitimate interest in such information to prevent, detect, investigate, combat or prosecute money laundering or its predicate offences or terrorist financing. Conversely, beneficial owners may apply to the competent authority for protection from their information being disclosed under the Beneficial Ownership Transparency (Access Restriction) Regulations (“Access Restriction Regulations”) in certain circumstances, including where the beneficial owner reasonably believes that disclosure of such information places them or an individual in their household at serious risk of kidnapping, extortion, violence, intimidation or any similar danger or serious harm. The Cayman Islands government has issued guidance which sets out procedures for making access applications under the LIA Regulations and procedures for applying for protection from disclosure under the Access Restriction Regulations.

5.3 What are the reporting requirements to investors or regulators in relation to Alternative Investment Funds or their managers, including on environmental, social and/or governance factors?

Regulated mutual and private funds are required to file, in electronic format, audited financial statements, an annual Key Data Elements Form (containing a summary of the basic information about the fund) and a Fund Annual Return (“FAR”), in each case within six months of the financial year end. The FAR provides general, operating and financial information relating to such regulated funds. Certain additional requirements apply to funds subject to the Japan Regulations.

The operator of a tokenised mutual fund or tokenised private fund must also confirm annually to CIMA that all records relating to the issuance, creation, sale, transfer and ownership of interests represented by digital tokens have been properly kept and maintained in compliance with the applicable statutory requirements. Tokenised funds must comply with any periodic reporting requirement specified by CIMA, and CIMA has supervisory powers in relation to tokenised funds, including powers to inspect the underlying technology and digital token transactions.

A manager registered as a “Registered Person” pursuant to SIBA is required to make an annual filing with CIMA and notify CIMA within 21 days of the occurrence of certain changes, including any changes to the information originally filed with CIMA by the Registered Person in its application or annual declaration.

See question 5.1 regarding ESG factors.

5.4 Is the use of side letters restricted?

No. Side letters are commonly used by Cayman Islands investment funds, although certain legal considerations should be borne in mind in order to ensure that such letter agreements are compliant with Cayman Islands law.

6 Taxation

6.1 What is the tax treatment of the principal forms of Alternative Investment Funds and local asset holding companies identified in question 2.1?

The Cayman Islands imposes no taxation on the income or capital gains of investment funds or their investors and no transfer taxes on the transfer of interests in investment funds or local asset holding companies. As discussed above, “exempted” companies, ELPs, unit trusts and LLCs can obtain undertakings from the Cayman Islands government that if any taxation is introduced, such taxation will not apply to the entity to which the undertaking is given during the period of the undertaking.

6.2 What is the tax treatment of the principal forms of investment manager/adviser identified in question 2.4?

Please see response to question 6.1 above.

6.3 Are there any establishment or transfer taxes levied in connection with an investor’s participation in an Alternative Investment Fund or the transfer of the investor’s interest?

No, there are none.

6.4 What is the local tax treatment of (a) resident, (b) non-resident, and (c) pension fund investors (or any other common investor type) in Alternative Investment Funds?

There is no distinction from a Cayman Islands perspective – please see response to question 6.1 above.

6.5 Is it necessary or advisable to obtain a tax ruling from the tax or regulatory authorities prior to establishing an Alternative Investment Fund or local asset holding company?

No, it is not.

6.6 What steps have been taken to implement the US Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act 2010 (FATCA) and other similar information reporting regimes such as the OECD’s Common Reporting Standard?

The Cayman Islands has signed an inter-governmental agreement to improve international tax compliance and the exchange of information with the United States (the “US IGA”). The Cayman Islands has also signed, along with over 100 other countries, a multilateral competent authority agreement to implement the OECD Standard for Automatic Exchange of Financial Account Information – Common Reporting Standard (“CRS” and together with the US IGA, “AEOI”).

Cayman Islands regulations have been issued to give effect to the US IGA and CRS (collectively, the “AEOI Regulations”). Pursuant to the AEOI Regulations, the Cayman Islands Tax Information Authority (the “TIA”) has published guidance notes on the application of the US IGA and CRS.

All Cayman Islands “Financial Institutions” are required to comply with the registration, due diligence and reporting requirements of the AEOI Regulations, unless they are able to rely on an exemption that allows them to become a “Non-Reporting Financial Institution” (as defined in the relevant AEOI Regulations) with respect to one or more of the AEOI regimes, in which case only the registration requirement would apply under CRS.

The AEOI Regulations require funds to, among other things, (i) register with the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”) to obtain a Global Intermediary Identification Number (in the context of the US IGA only), (ii) register with the TIA, and thereby notify the TIA of its status as a “Reporting Financial Institution”, (iii) adopt and implement written policies and procedures setting out how it will address its obligations under CRS, (iv) conduct due diligence on its accounts to identify whether any such accounts are considered “Reportable Accounts”, (v) report information on such Reportable Accounts to the TIA, and (vi) file a CRS Compliance Form with the TIA. The TIA will transmit the information reported to it to the overseas fiscal authority relevant to a reportable account (e.g. the IRS in the case of a US Reportable Account) annually on an automatic basis.

6.7 What steps have been or are being taken to implement the OECD’s Action Plan on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS), in particular Actions 2 (hybrids/reverse hybrids/shell entities) (e.g. ATAD I and II), 6 (prevention of treaty abuse) (e.g. the MLI), and 7 (permanent establishments), insofar as they affect Alternative Investment Funds’ and local asset holding companies’ operations?

Country-By-Country Reporting

As part of the Cayman Islands’ ongoing commitment to international tax transparency, the Tax Information Authority (International Tax Compliance) (Country-By-Country Reporting) Regulations (As Revised) (the “CbCR Regulations”) were issued on 15 December 2017, with the Department for International Tax Cooperation releasing its Guidance on the Country-by-Country Reporting (“CbCR”) requirements of entities that are resident in the Cayman Islands on 29 March 2018.

The CbCR Regulations essentially implement in the Cayman Islands the model legislation published pursuant to the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Action 13 Report (Transfer Pricing Documentation and Country-by-Country Reporting). The CbCR Regulations also reflect the Cayman Islands' obligations under the OECD Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on the Exchange of Country-by-Country Reports (the "CbC MCAA").

Pursuant to this initiative, qualifying multinational enterprises ("MNEs") are required to report annually the information set out in the model legislation for each tax jurisdiction in which they operate. The TIA will automatically exchange such reports prepared by MNE Groups in the Cayman Islands with partner jurisdiction competent authorities in all jurisdictions that the MNE Group operates, provided that the jurisdiction is a co-signatory to the CbC MCAA or a tax information exchange agreement is in place between the Cayman Islands and each relevant jurisdiction. The information reported will be subject to confidentiality restrictions compliant with the requirements of the Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters.

Pursuant to the CbCR Regulations, any business unit or permanent establishment of an MNE Group "resident in the Cayman Islands" that are "Constituent Entities" will have registration and/or reporting requirements in the Cayman Islands. An MNE Group means, broadly, with respect to any fiscal year of the Group, a Group that has two or more enterprises for which the tax residence is in different jurisdictions or that has an enterprise that is resident for tax purposes in one jurisdiction and is subject to tax through a permanent establishment in another jurisdiction and, in both cases, that has a total consolidated group revenue of equal to or more than US\$850 million during its preceding fiscal year.

Lack of tax treaties

As a Cayman Islands fund or local asset holding company will not be claiming access itself to a tax treaty, Action 6 is not directly relevant to it. However, a Cayman Islands fund or local asset holding company can be set up in a variety of different legal forms, either as legally transparent or opaque, which facilitate cross-border fund structures, whereby either the fund investors or the fund itself (in respect of a local asset holding company) may rely on their own treaty or through investment entities that may be able to rely on their own treaty.

Economic substance

In response to the BEPS standards on geographically mobile activities, on 27 December 2018, the Cayman Islands published The International Tax Co-operation (Economic Substance) Act (As Revised) and The International Tax Co-operation (Economic Substance) (Prescribed Date) Regulations (As Revised) (together, the "Initial Act"). The Initial Act was amended by several amendment regulations, which were subsequently consolidated into the International Tax Co-operation (Economic Substance) Act (As Revised) (the "Economic Substance Act"). The Economic Substance Act is supplemented by the issuance of the related Guidance on Economic Substance for Geographically Mobile Activities.

The Economic Substance Act introduced certain reporting and economic substance requirements for "relevant entities" conducting "relevant activities". Such entities are required to report certain information on their relevant activities on an annual basis to the TIA, the first such annual report being due no later than 12 months after the last day of the relevant entity's financial year commencing on or after 1 January in the year it commences a relevant activity.

The definition of "relevant entity" is set out in the Regulations and was expanded in 2021 to include partnerships. It expressly recognises that, among other things, an entity that is an "investment fund" is not within the classification of a "relevant entity" and therefore not subject to the requirements of the Economic Substance Act.

The definition of "investment fund" includes an "entity through which an investment fund directly or indirectly invests or operates", which would include a local asset holding company wholly owned by the fund.

6.8 What steps have been or are being taken to implement the OECD's Global Anti-Base Erosion (GloBE) rules, insofar as they affect Alternative Investment Funds' and local asset holding companies' operations? Do the domestic rules depart significantly from the OECD's model rules, insofar as they affect Alternative Investment Funds' and local asset holding companies' operations?

The OECD Global Anti-Base Erosion ("GloBE") Rules are part of the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting ("BEPS"). The GloBE Rules aim to ensure that MNEs pay a minimum level of tax on the income arising in each of the jurisdictions where they operate.

The OECD has stated that, because of the interlocking nature of the GloBE Rules, it is not necessary for all jurisdictions to implement the tax for it to be effective across the globe, and not all countries need to implement the minimum tax for it to be effective in putting minimum taxation in place.

As highlighted in questions 6.1 and 6.6 above, the Cayman Islands is known for its tax-neutral environment and has enacted various laws and regulations to address the BEPS standards and impose reporting requirements for qualifying MNEs. The Cayman Islands government has described the GloBE Rules as manageable, as the Cayman Islands has minimal exposure to MNEs. Further, the GloBE Rules are not expected to necessitate any changes to the tax system of the Cayman Islands.

6.9 Are there any tax-advantaged asset classes or structures available? How widely are they deployed?

Not applicable – please see response to question 6.1 above.

6.10 Are there any other material tax issues for investors, managers, advisers or Alternative Investment Funds?

No, there are not.

6.11 Are there any meaningful tax changes anticipated in the coming 12 months other than as set out at question 6.6 above?

No, there are not.

7 Trends and Reforms

7.1 What have been the main trends in the Alternative Investment Funds space in the last 12 months?

The number of both regulated mutual funds and private funds

has increased steadily during the past 12 months, reaching a combined total of 30,598 by the end of 2025. The number of CIMA regulated mutual funds increased slightly during the course of 2025 from 12,858 in December 2024 to 12,876 in December 2025. However, there was a significant uptick in mutual funds to start 2026, with an additional 132 mutual funds being registered in the first quarter of 2026.

Private funds, which outnumbers regulated mutual funds, also continued to grow, increasing from 17,292 in December 2024 to 17,722 in December 2025. There was also a sharp uptick in private funds to start 2026, with an additional 188 private funds being registered in the first quarter of 2026.

As a leading domicile for AIFs, the Cayman Islands combines a robust legal and regulatory regime with a pragmatic commercial approach to business.

A notable recent trend is the increasing focus on tokenised fund structures, under which an investor's equity or investment interest in a fund is represented digitally using blockchain or distributed ledger technology. The Cayman Islands has responded by passing amendments to the MF Act and PF Act that provide a clear statutory framework for tokenised mutual funds and tokenised private funds, while preserving regulation within the existing funds regime and adding targeted requirements for record-keeping, transfer controls, risk disclosure, reporting and CIMA supervision.

7.2 In your opinion, what reforms (if any) in the Alternative Investment Funds space would be advantageous for the evolution of the private markets?

The Cayman Islands, as an adherent to global best practices and standards, actively monitors international trends and

developments in the AIF space. The Cayman Islands government, through its Ministry of Financial Services, along with CIMA, engages with industry stakeholders and international bodies to gather data and inform future legislation and regulatory framework development. The private sector, including financial services firms and industry associations, actively participates in consultations to ensure that the jurisdiction remains competitive and compliant with global standards. This consultative approach to legislative reform has enabled the Cayman Islands to maintain its position as a premier destination for AIFs whilst navigating through a dynamic period marked by regulatory scrutiny, innovative trends and shifting investor demands.

On the regulatory front, various legislation has been enacted recently which continue to strengthen the jurisdiction's AML and beneficial ownership frameworks to ensure compliance with international standards.

The continued evolution of practical guidance for tokenised funds would also be advantageous, particularly on operational matters such as the interaction between statutory registers and blockchain records, wallet approval or whitelisting, smart contract governance, loss of private keys, token burning or redemption mechanics, cybersecurity, data protection, and the responsibilities of tokenisation platform agents and other service providers. The recent legislative amendments provide an important foundation by giving managers, investors and service providers a clearer pathway for tokenised fund structures within the Cayman Islands regulated funds framework.



Andrew Keast is a partner of Maples and Calder's Fund & Investment Management team in the Maples Group's Cayman Islands office. He works with a broad range of prominent institutional and start-up private equity, venture capital and hedge fund clients. He advises on all aspects of investment fund work, as well as general corporate and commercial matters. Andrew also advises a number of the leading sponsors and onshore counsel in the Israeli venture capital space.

Maples Group

Ugland House, South Church Street
PO Box 309
Grand Cayman KY1-1104
Cayman Islands

Tel: +1 345 814 5371
Email: andrew.keast@maples.com
LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/in/andrew-keast-b7180a31



Stephen Watler is a partner of Maples and Calder's Funds & Investment Management team in the Maples Group's Cayman Islands office. He advises on the formation and maintenance of all types of investment funds, including private equity funds and hedge funds. Stephen also has extensive experience advising Asian clients on unit trusts, SPCs and other investment fund structures as well as providing advice on general corporate and commercial matters.

Maples Group

Ugland House, South Church Street
PO Box 309
Grand Cayman KY1-1104
Cayman Islands

Tel: +1 345 814 5119
Email: stephen.watler@maples.com
LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/in/stephenwatler



Harjit Kaur is head of Maples and Calder's Funds & Investment Management team in the Maples Group's London office. She specialises in the establishment and maintenance of all kinds of AIFs in the Cayman Islands. She advises numerous leading European fund managers and their onshore counsel on the formation, ongoing legal and regulatory compliance, restructuring and termination of different types of Cayman Islands investment vehicles, including hedge funds, hybrid funds and private equity funds. Harjit also regularly advises clients on a broad range of corporate and commercial transactions, including shareholder arrangements and regulatory matters such as AML, FATCA and CRS compliance, and Cayman economic substance requirements.

Maples Group

6th Floor, DUO, 280 Bishopsgate
London
EC2M 4RB
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 20 7466 1655
Email: harjit.kaur@maples.com
LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/in/harjit-kaur-72146b192



Sharon Yap is a partner of Maples and Calder's Funds & Investment Management team in the Maples Group's Hong Kong office. She specialises in a wide range of fund formation and structuring including private equity, venture capital, infrastructure and real estate funds, private debt funds and hedge funds. Sharon also has broad experience advising on private equity downstream transactions, carried interest arrangements, co-investments, fund restructurings, fund financing and both GP- and LP-led secondaries. Her clients include leading global and top-tier regional private equity and venture capital sponsors, some of the biggest securities houses in China and Japan, leading conglomerates in Japan and Korea plus boutique and start-up managers.

Maples Group

26th Floor, Central Plaza
18 Harbour Road
Wanchai
Hong Kong

Tel: +852 9023 0037
Email: sharon.yap@maples.com
LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/in/sharon-yap-8a50b227

The Maples Group, through its leading international law firm, Maples and Calder, advises global financial, institutional, business and private clients on the laws of the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, Ireland, Jersey and Luxembourg. With offices in key jurisdictions around the world, the Maples Group has specific strengths in areas of corporate commercial, finance, investment funds, litigation and trusts. Maintaining relationships with leading legal counsel, the Group leverages this local expertise to deliver an integrated service offering for global business initiatives.

www.maples.com/services/legal-services





The **International Comparative Legal Guides** (ICLG) series brings key cross-border insights to legal practitioners worldwide, covering 59 practice areas.

Alternative Investment Funds 2026 features two expert analysis chapters and 24 Q&A jurisdiction chapters covering key issues, including:

- Regulatory Framework
- Fund Structures
- Marketing
- Investments
- Disclosure of Information
- Taxation
- Trends and Reforms