



Ireland Update: Irish Data Protection Developments – 2020 in Review and a Look Ahead to 2021

To mark Data Protection Day this briefing looks at data protection developments in Ireland in 2020 and considers what will drive the 2021 agenda. COVID-19, international data transfers, Brexit, security breaches and the first GDPR fines imposed by the Data Protection Commission ("DPC") were some of the main issues. Those issues will continue to occupy businesses in 2021 coupled with a focus on the operation of the pan-European enforcement Regulation (EU) 2016/679 General Data Protection Regulation ("GDPR"), the processing of children's personal data, the National Artificial Intelligence ("AI") Strategy and the ePrivacy Regulation.

COVID-19

COVID-19 presented immediate data challenges for businesses. Employers were forced to determine what health and travel related data they could ask employees and visitors to provide, and if they could implement mandatory temperature testing without breaching their GDPR obligations. Data security and the processing of employee data to monitor productivity came to the fore. Businesses which had incidences of COVID-19 were faced with difficult decisions about who had a right to know when a colleague received a COVID-19 diagnosis. As cases increased in the community, businesses began to examine the legality of PCR tests. We discuss the data protection issues facing employers in the third phase of COVID-19 in our COVID-19, Data Protection and the Workplace briefing¹.

Cookies – Consent is Required

The DPC published a report and detailed guidance on the use of cookies and other tracking technologies in April 2020 following a cookies' sweep conducted by it in Q3-4 2019². The issues identified included the placement of cookies without seeking consent; the use of pre-checked boxes; reliance on implied consent; the lack of clear and comprehensive information; and disproportionate cookies' lifespan. The DPC gave controllers a six month grace period to comply. While it has not actively enforced compliance in this area in the past, it has stated that it will be taking enforcement action when this period expires.

International Data Transfers

The "Schrems II" decision of the Court of Justice of the European Union³ ("CJEU") was the most significant development impacting international data transfers.

³ C-311/18, 16 July 2020 http://curia.europa.eu/juris/documents.jsf?num=C-311/18

¹ https://maples.com/en/knowledge-centre/2021/1/covid-19data-protection-and-the-workplace

https://www.dataprotection.ie/sites/default/files/uploads/2020-04/Data%20Protection%20Commission%20cookies%20swee p%20REVISED%2015%20April%202020%20v.01.pdf

https://www.dataprotection.ie/sites/default/files/uploads/2020-04/Guidance%20note%20on%20cookies%20and%20other% 20tracking%20technologies.pdf

UPDATE

This decision:

- Invalidated the "US Privacy Shield" which had facilitated the legitimate transfer of personal data from the European Economic Area ("EEA") to the US;
- Introduced the concept of "Transfer Impact Assessments" for controllers relying on standard contractual clauses ("SCCs") to transfer personal data outside of the EEA; and
- Reinforced the core principle that essentially equivalent GDPR protection must travel with personal data when it goes outside the EEA.

The DPC served a draft prohibition order on Facebook in relation to data transfers to the US after this decision, and judicial review proceedings by both Facebook and Max Schrems followed. We consider its implications in our previous update International Data Transfers – Brexit & Schrems II: Impact for Funds briefing⁴.

First GDPR Fine

Tusla Child and Family Agency ("Tusla") became the first entity to be fined by the DPC under GDPR. It was fined €75,000 for three breaches. In each case Tusla unintentionally disclosed the addresses of children in care to third parties. The decision was confirmed by the Circuit Court in November 2020.

The DPC and Big Tech

The DPC is the lead European regulator for many big tech companies and its statutory inquiries into big tech continued. It started the year with 21 big tech statutory inquiries ongoing and announced a further four into Google, Tinder and Facebook in 2020. Facebook's planned launch of a dating feature just in time for Valentine's Day was postponed due to a lack of timely consultation with the DPC. The DPC expedited its information gathering process via an onsite inspection at Facebook Ireland's offices in Dublin. It is unclear how much notice Facebook received of this onsite inspection but all controllers and processors should be aware of the DPC's authorised officers' right to conduct unannounced visits (or dawn raids) without the need for any judicial or other approval.

The DPC also issued its first decision and fine arising from its big tech statutory inquiries. It fined Twitter \leq 450,000 for failing to report a personal data breach on time and failing to adequately document it. This was the first big tech decision in which all EU data protection authorities were consulted as part of the GDPR's consistency mechanism. There were stark differences of opinion on the appropriate fine⁵.

For example, the DPC proposed a fine of between $\leq 135,000$ and $\leq 275,000$ or between 0.005% and 0.01% of Twitter's annual turnover. In contrast, the German data protection authority argued it was "too low" and "not dissuasive" to deter further infringements, proposing a fine of between ≤ 7.3 million and ≤ 22 million.

Data Breaches

Reliance on technology to rapidly react to the impact of COVID-19 increased opportunities for cybercrime. The general public felt the impact of phishing and smishing (the SMS equivalent of phishing) as fraudsters targeted bank customers. Businesses faced invoice redirection, CEO impersonation and ransomware attacks. An Garda Síochána announced significant investment in the National Cybercrime Bureau with a new state of

https://edpb.europa.eu/our-work-tools/ourdocuments/binding-decision-board-art-65/decision-012020dispute-arisen-draft_en

⁴ https://maples.com/en/knowledgecentre/2021/1/international-data-transfers-brexit-and-

schrems-ii-impact-for-funds

⁵ The decision of the European Data Protection Board details the differences of opinion between the EU data protection authorities on the appropriate fine to impose

the art decryption suite and the recruitment of approximately 60 new officers.

Brexit

With effect from 1 January 2021 the UK became a "third country" to EU Member States for data protection purposes. The EU/UK Transfer and Cooperation Agreement includes a six month grace period for EU to UK data transfers. This temporary reprieve is intended to give the European Commission ("Commission") time to perform its adequacy assessment on the UK's data protection laws. We covered the data protection issues arising in more detail in our previous update, Post-Brexit Personal Data briefing⁶.

A Look Ahead to 2021

We can be certain that 2021's data protection agenda will be busy. Here, we consider the issues that will dominate the Irish 2021 data protection agenda.

- The Commission will adopt new SCCs taking into account the Schrems II decision. Transfer impact assessments will be required to demonstrate GDPR compliance for almost all international data transfer decisions.
- The EU will, most likely, issue a conditional adequacy decision for data transfers to the UK. The US and the EU will reach political agreement on an enhanced "Privacy Shield".
- The Commission will adopt SCCs on the Article 28 mandatory controller to processor provisions.
- The Council of the EU released a new, draft version of the ePrivacy Regulation on 5 January 2021. This is the 14th version of the draft e-Privacy Regulation first introduced by the Commission in 2017.

The draft regulation will progress under the Portuguese Presidency but is unlikely to be finalised in 2021.

- The CJEU will issue its decision in Facebook Ireland Limited, Facebook Inc., Facebook Belgium BVBA v the Belgian Data Protection Authority (C-645/19). It will, most likely, follow the opinion of Advocate General Bobek in upholding the competence of the lead supervisory authority over pan European data processing.
- The DPC's use of its corrective powers will not focus solely on fines. It will use its other corrective powers including enforcement and prohibition notices. Further draft decisions in its big tech statutory inquiries to the GDPR's consistency mechanism will be submitted by the DPC and a number of its big tech investigations will be finalised. The DPC will also start enforcement action for cookies' compliance failures.
- The number of data protection claims before the courts will increase including representative actions.
- The processing of children's data will be a • focus for the DPC particularly in the regulation of social media platforms. TikTok is the most recent multinational social network to identity the DPC as its lead European data protection regulator. On 22 January 2021, the Italian data protection authority invoked emergency procedures to order TikTok to immediately stop collecting and using data of users whose ages it doesn't know "with certainty" following the death of a 10 year old Italian girl carrying out a challenge on TikTok. The DPC's consultation on the draft Fundamentals for a Child-Orientated Approach to Data Processing is open for submissions until 31 March 20217.

⁶ https://maples.com/en/knowledge-centre/2021/1/post-brexit-personal-data

⁷ https://www.dataprotection.ie/index.php/en/newsmedia/consultations/children-front-and-centre-fundamentalschild-oriented-approach-data-processing

UPDATE

 Ireland's approach to data privacy and artificial intelligence will come to the fore as the Department of Enterprise, Trade and Employment seeks to progress the National AI Strategy.

Further Information

For further information or assistance in undertaking any of the actions advised above, please contact Claire Morrissey or your usual Maples Group contact.

Dublin

Claire Morrissey

Head of Data, Commercial and Technology +353 1 619 2113 claire.morrissey@maples.com

January 2021 © MAPLES GROUP

This update is intended to provide only general information for the clients and professional contacts of the Maples Group. It does not purport to be comprehensive or to render legal advice. Published by Maples and Calder (Ireland) LLP.