

# ICLG

## The International Comparative Legal Guide to: **Insurance & Reinsurance 2018**

### **7th Edition**

A practical cross-border insight into insurance and reinsurance law

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Advokatfirman Vinge KB

AKP Best Advice

Arthur Cox

Bae, Kim & Lee LLC

BECH-BRUUN

BLACK SEA LAW COMPANY

Blaney McMurtry LLP

BSA Ahmad Bin Hezeem & Associates LLP

Camilleri Preziosi Advocates

Cavus & Coskunsu Law Firm

Christos Chrissanthis & Partners

Chuo Sogo Law Office, P.C.

CIS Risk Consultant Company  
(insurance brokers) LLP (CIS)

Clyde & Co LLP

Clyde & Co (Deutschland) LLP

Cordero & Cordero Abogados

Creel, García-Cuellar, Aiza y Enriquez, S.C.

DAC Beachcroft SLPU

DAC Beachcroft Colombia  
Abogados SAS

Dirkzwager advocaten & notarissen N.V.

DLA Piper Norway DA

Eversheds Sutherland Ltd.

Gouveia Pereira, Costa Freitas &  
Associados

Gross Orad Schlimoff & Co.

Kennedys Chudleigh Ltd

LCS & Partners

Legance – Avvocati Associati

Lloyd's Market Association

Maples and Calder

Matheson

McMillan LLP

MinterEllison

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton &  
Garrison LLP

R & T Asia (Thailand) Limited

Railas Attorneys Ltd.

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP

Steptoe & Johnson LLP

Tavares Advogados

Tuli & Co

Vavrovsky Heine Marth





**Contributing Editors**  
Jon Turnbull & Michelle Radom, Clyde & Co LLP

**Sales Director**  
Florjan Osmani

**Account Director**  
Oliver Smith

**Sales Support Manager**  
Toni Hayward

**Editor**  
Sam Friend

**Senior Editors**  
Suzie Levy  
Caroline Collingwood

**Chief Operating Officer**  
Dror Levy

**Group Consulting Editor**  
Alan Falach

**Publisher**  
Rory Smith

**Published by**  
Global Legal Group Ltd.  
59 Tanner Street  
London SE1 3PL, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720  
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk  
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

**GLG Cover Design**  
F&F Studio Design

**GLG Cover Image Source**  
iStockphoto

**Printed by**  
Stephens & George  
Print Group  
March 2018

Copyright © 2018  
Global Legal Group Ltd.  
All rights reserved  
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-911367-98-7  
ISSN 2048-6871

**Strategic Partners**



## General Chapters:

|   |  |    |
|---|--|----|
| 1 | <b>Aspects of the Impact of Brexit on UK/EEA Insurance and Reinsurance</b> – Jon Turnbull & Ivor Edwards, Clyde & Co LLP   | 1  |
| 2 | <b>Cyber Insurance at Lloyd’s: Coverage and Regulation of Global Digital Risks</b> – Patrick Davison & David Powell, Lloyd’s Market Association                                      | 7  |
| 3 | <b>Fire and Wire: Canadian Business Interruption Insurance in the Age of Cyber-Risk and Climate Change</b> – David R. Mackenzie & Dominic T. Clarke, Blaney McMurtry LLP             | 14 |
| 4 | <b>Brexit Relocations: The Story So Far</b> – Darren Maher, Matheson   | 21 |
| 5 | <b>Trending Tremors: Cat Bond Developments in Mexico and Latin America</b> – Leonel Pereznieta del Prado & María José Pinillos Montaña, Creel, García-Cuéllar, Aiza y Enríquez, S.C. | 23 |
| 6 | <b>Reinsurance in an Era of Sanctions</b> – Lilia Klochenko & Stanislava Adamaytis, AKP Best Advice  | 27 |

## Country Question and Answer Chapters:

|    |                            |   |     |
|----|----------------------------|---|-----|
| 7  | <b>Australia</b>           | MinterEllison: Kemsley Brennan & James Stanton  | 31  |
| 8  | <b>Austria</b>             | Vavrovsky Heine Marth: Philipp Strasser & Jan Philipp Meyer   | 38  |
| 9  | <b>Azerbaijan</b>          | CIS Risk Consultant Company (insurance brokers) LLP (CIS): Homi Motamedi & Valentina Pan                  | 44  |
| 10 | <b>Belgium</b>             | Steptoe & Johnson LLP: Philip Woolfson & Alexander Hamels   | 49  |
| 11 | <b>Bermuda</b>             | Kennedys Chudleigh Ltd.: Mark Chudleigh & Nick Miles  | 57  |
| 12 | <b>Brazil</b>              | Tavares Advogados: André Tavares & Daniel Chacur de Miranda   | 63  |
| 13 | <b>Canada</b>              | McMillan LLP: Carol Lyons & Lindsay Lorimer   | 69  |
| 14 | <b>Cayman Islands</b>      | Maples and Calder: Abraham Thoppil & Luke Stockdale   | 78  |
| 15 | <b>Colombia</b>            | DAC Beachcroft Colombia Abogados SAS: Juan Diego Arango Giraldo & Angela María Hernández Gómez            | 84  |
| 16 | <b>Costa Rica</b>          | Cordero & Cordero Abogados: Ricardo Cordero B.  | 91  |
| 17 | <b>Denmark</b>             | BECH-BRUUN: Anne Buhl Bjelke & Henrik Valdorf-Hansen  | 96  |
| 18 | <b>England &amp; Wales</b> | Clyde & Co LLP: Jon Turnbull & Michelle Radom   | 102 |
| 19 | <b>Finland</b>             | Railas Attorneys Ltd.: Lauri Railas   | 111 |
| 20 | <b>Georgia</b>             | CIS Risk Consultant Company (insurance brokers) LLP (CIS): Homi Motamedi & Valentina Pan                  | 117 |
| 21 | <b>Germany</b>             | Clyde & Co (Deutschland) LLP: Dr Henning Schaloske & Dr Tanja Schramm                                     | 121 |
| 22 | <b>Greece</b>              | Christos Chrissanthis & Partners: Dr. Christos Chrissanthis & Xenia Chardalia                             | 128 |
| 23 | <b>India</b>               | Tuli & Co: Neeraj Tuli & Celia Jenkins  | 136 |
| 24 | <b>Ireland</b>             | Arthur Cox: Elizabeth Bothwell & David O’Donohoe  | 143 |
| 25 | <b>Israel</b>              | Gross Orad Schlimoff & Co.: Harry Orad  | 150 |
| 26 | <b>Italy</b>               | Legance – Avvocati Associati: Gian Paolo Tagariello & Daniele Geronzi                                     | 156 |
| 27 | <b>Japan</b>               | Chuo Sogo Law Office, P.C.: Hironori Nishikino & Koji Kanazawa  | 163 |
| 28 | <b>Kazakhstan</b>          | CIS Risk Consultant Company (insurance brokers) LLP (CIS): Homi Motamedi & Valentina Pan                  | 168 |
| 29 | <b>Korea</b>               | Bae, Kim & Lee LLC: Jong Ku Kang & Jong Min An  | 173 |
| 30 | <b>Malta</b>               | Camilleri Preziosi Advocates: Diane Bugeja & Francesca Galea Cavallazzi                                   | 179 |
| 31 | <b>Mexico</b>              | Creel, García-Cuéllar, Aiza y Enríquez, S.C.: María José Pinillos Montaña & Allan Galileo Olmedo Villegas | 186 |
| 32 | <b>Netherlands</b>         | Dirkzwager advocaten & notarissen N.V.: Daan Baas & Niels Dekker  | 191 |

Continued Overleaf →

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

### Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

|    |                             |  |     |
|----|-----------------------------|--|-----|
| 33 | <b>Norway</b>               | DLA Piper Norway DA: Alexander Plows & Michal Jorek  | 198 |
| 34 | <b>Portugal</b>             | Gouveia Pereira, Costa Freitas & Associados: José Limón Cavaco & Ana Isabel Serra Calmeiro | 204 |
| 35 | <b>Russia</b>               | AKP Best Advice: Lilia Klochenko & Ekaterina Mikhaylova                                    | 210 |
| 36 | <b>Saudi Arabia</b>         | Clyde & Co: Mark Beswetherick & Saud Alsaab  | 217 |
| 37 | <b>Singapore</b>            | Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP: Elaine Tay Ling Yan  | 222 |
| 38 | <b>Spain</b>                | DAC Beachcroft SLPU: José María Pimentel & José María Álvarez-Cienfuegos                   | 227 |
| 39 | <b>Sweden</b>               | Advokatfirman Vinge KB: Fabian Ekeblad & Paulina Malmberg                                  | 233 |
| 40 | <b>Switzerland</b>          | Eversheds Sutherland Ltd.: Peter Haas & Barbara Klett                                      | 240 |
| 41 | <b>Taiwan</b>               | LCS & Partners: Mark J. Harty & Alex Yeh   | 245 |
| 42 | <b>Thailand</b>             | R & T Asia (Thailand) Co., Ltd.: Sui Lin Teoh & Saroj Jongsaritwang                        | 250 |
| 43 | <b>Turkey</b>               | Cavus & Coskunsu Law Firm: Caglar Coskunsu & Burak Cavus                                   | 255 |
| 44 | <b>Ukraine</b>              | BLACK SEA LAW COMPANY: Evgeniy Sukachev & Anastasiia Sukacheva                             | 261 |
| 45 | <b>United Arab Emirates</b> | BSA Ahmad Bin Hezeem & Associates LLP: Michael Kortbawi & Michel Abi Saab                  | 266 |
| 46 | <b>USA</b>                  | Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP: H. Christopher Boehning                      | 272 |
| 47 | <b>Uzbekistan</b>           | CIS Risk Consultant Company (insurance brokers) LLP (CIS): Homi Motamedi & Valentina Pan   | 279 |

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the seventh edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Insurance & Reinsurance*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of insurance and reinsurance.

It is divided into two main sections:

Six general chapters. These are designed to provide readers with an overview of key issues affecting insurance and reinsurance work, particularly from the perspective of a multi-jurisdictional transaction.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in insurance and reinsurance laws and regulations in 41 jurisdictions.

All chapters are written by leading insurance and reinsurance lawyers and industry specialists, and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editors Jon Turnbull and Michelle Radom of Clyde & Co LLP for their invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The *International Comparative Legal Guide* series is also available online at [www.iclg.com](http://www.iclg.com).

Alan Falach LL.M.  
Group Consulting Editor  
Global Legal Group  
[Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk](mailto:Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk)

# Cayman Islands

Abraham Thoppil



Maples and Calder

Luke Stockdale



## 1 Regulatory

### 1.1 Which government bodies/agencies regulate insurance (and reinsurance) companies?

All persons carrying on, or desiring to carry on, insurance business (including reinsurance business) in or from the Cayman Islands need to be licensed under the Cayman Islands Insurance Law. The Cayman Islands Monetary Authority (“Authority”) regulates insurance business in the Cayman Islands.

### 1.2 What are the requirements/procedures for setting up a new insurance (or reinsurance) company?

Insurers are licensed by the Authority as follows:

- (a) “Class A insurer” licence, for the carrying on of domestic business (i.e. the provision of insurance in respect of insurable interests of persons ordinarily resident in the Cayman Islands, or of property or vehicles in the Cayman Islands) or limited reinsurance business.
- (b) “Class B insurer” licence, for the carrying on of insurance business other than domestic business (however, a Class B insurer may carry on domestic business where such business forms less than five per cent of net premiums written, or where the Authority has otherwise granted prior approval).
- (c) “Class C insurer” licence, for the carrying on of insurance business involving the provision of reinsurance arrangements in respect of which the insurance obligations of the Class C insurer are limited in recourse to, and collateralised by, the Class C insurer’s funding sources or the proceeds of such funding sources, which includes the issuance of bonds or other instruments, contracts for differences and such other funding mechanisms approved by the Authority.
- (d) “Class D insurer” licence, for the carrying on of reinsurance business and such other business as may be approved in respect of any individual licence by the Authority.

The organisational requirements for licensees are as follows:

- (a) Only companies incorporated in the Cayman Islands may be licensed as Class A or D insurers and only companies incorporated as “exempted companies” (including segregated portfolio companies) may be licensed as Class B or C insurers.
- (b) Directors: Every applicant must have a minimum of two directors who are approved by the Authority.
- (c) Physical Presence: Class A and D insurers are required to have a place of business in the Cayman Islands.

- (d) Requirement to Appoint a Local Insurance Manager: A Class B insurer or a Class C insurer (unless it permanently maintains a place of business in the Cayman Islands) is required to appoint an insurance manager licensed by the Authority.
- (e) Business Plan: Every applicant is required to file a business plan with the Authority.
- (f) Capital and Solvency Requirements: Every applicant is required to comply with the prescribed level of capital requirements under the Insurance Law, 2010 (as amended) and the Regulations made under the Law, which differ depending upon the Class of licence sought.
- (g) Auditor: Every applicant (unless exempted by the Authority) is required to appoint an auditor approved by the Authority.

### 1.3 Are foreign insurers able to write business directly or must they write reinsurance of a domestic insurer?

In general, only a foreign insurer licensed as a Class A insurer is able to write domestic insurance business. In certain circumstances, the Attorney General or the Governor in Cabinet may permit an unlicensed foreign insurer to carry on domestic business.

A local insurer is free to contract for reinsurance with a foreign reinsurer (subject to the Authority’s consent).

### 1.4 Are there any legal rules that restrict the parties’ freedom of contract by implying extraneous terms into (all or some) contracts of insurance?

There are no statutory provisions that restrict the parties’ freedom of contract. However, the Authority needs to approve all insurance contracts entered into by a licensed insurer.

### 1.5 Are companies permitted to indemnify directors and officers under local company law?

Yes. Cayman Islands companies are not prohibited from indemnifying and exculpating their directors and officers from personal liability for wrongful conduct in the performance of their fiduciary and common law duties.

However, the “irreducible core” of a fiduciary’s duty, which has been held to comprise at least the duty to act honestly and in good faith, will remain despite the terms of any indemnity or exculpation provision. Accordingly, such provisions may not operate to indemnify or release a director or officer from liability for conduct which amounts to wilful default, fraud or dishonesty in the carrying out of their fiduciary duties.

## 1.6 Are there any forms of compulsory insurance?

There are a wide variety of statutory provisions in the Cayman Islands which impose obligations to maintain insurance coverage.

Examples of the sectors and the parties to whom such provisions apply include:

- (a) Parties involved in the provision of professional services in the financial services industry such as auditors, attorneys, professional directors, liquidators, insurance brokers, persons licensed to carry on business under the Securities Investment Business Law and persons licensed to carry on business under the Banks and Trust Companies Law.
- (b) Employers are required to maintain health insurance on behalf of each employee.
- (c) Operators of healthcare facilities are required to maintain malpractice insurance and liability insurance coverage for the facility.
- (d) Parties involved in transport operations, including operators of scheduled air services, ships registered in the Cayman Islands and users of port facilities. There are also requirements for road users to insure their vehicles.
- (e) Property owners and operators are required to maintain property insurance in certain circumstances.

## 2 (Re)insurance Claims

### 2.1 In general terms, is the substantive law relating to insurance more favourable to insurers or insureds?

Cayman Islands statutory insurance law is mainly regulatory in nature and does not generally deal with consumer protection rights.

### 2.2 Can a third party bring a direct action against an insurer?

Cayman Islands law recognises privity of contract. Accordingly, it is not generally possible for a third party to enforce contractual terms without being a party to the relevant contract.

However, pursuant to the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Law, parties to a contract governed by Cayman Islands law may expressly confer on a third party a statutory right of enforcement in respect of any provision or provisions of the contract.

### 2.3 Can an insured bring a direct action against a reinsurer?

The existence of any such right would depend upon the terms of the contracts between the insured and the insurer, and the insurer and the reinsurer.

### 2.4 What remedies does an insurer have in cases of either misrepresentation or non-disclosure by the insured?

Pursuant to the Cayman Islands Contracts Law and the common law principles of misrepresentation, where an insurer has entered into a contract of insurance in reliance upon a misrepresentation by the insured, or where there has been non-disclosure of a material fact by the insured which, had it been disclosed, would have led the insurer not to enter into the contract at all, or enter into the contract on different terms, the insurer may have a right to rescind the contract.

Further or alternatively, the insurer may have a claim for damages against the insured where it has suffered loss due to misrepresentation or non-disclosure by the insured.

### 2.5 Is there a positive duty on an insured to disclose to insurers all matters material to a risk, irrespective of whether the insurer has specifically asked about them?

Contracts of insurance are based upon the principle of utmost good faith. That principle imposes a positive duty on each contracting party to disclose all circumstances material to the risk to the other contracting party.

Accordingly, an insured will generally be under a positive duty to disclose to the insurer all circumstances material to the risk to be insured regardless of whether the insurer has specifically asked about those matters. A circumstance is material for these purposes if it would influence the judgment of a prudent insurer in either fixing the premium or determining whether to insure the risk at all. Any failure to make such disclosure could provide grounds for the insurer to void the contract.

### 2.6 Is there an automatic right of subrogation upon payment of an indemnity by the insurer or does an insurer need a separate clause entitling subrogation?

Decisions of the English courts which have confirmed that a right of subrogation exists in respect of all contracts of non-marine insurance which are contracts of indemnity should be followed in the Cayman Islands.

## 3 Litigation – Overview

### 3.1 Which courts are appropriate for commercial insurance disputes? Does this depend on the value of the dispute? Is there any right to a hearing before a jury?

Any action for breach of a contract of insurance, including an application for a declaration, where the amount claimed exceeds \$1 million, is required to be commenced in the Financial Services Division of the Grand Court. Whilst the Court does have the power, on the application of any party, to order that a civil cause of action be tried before a jury of seven persons, in practice all insurance and other commercial proceedings are heard by a judge alone.

### 3.2 How long does a commercial case commonly take to bring to court once it has been initiated?

As much of the commercial litigation before the Grand Court is complex, cross-border and involves heavy interlocutory applications, cases can take up to two years to come to trial. However, a relatively simple coverage dispute may take a shorter period of time.

## 4 Litigation – Procedure

### 4.1 What powers do the courts have to order the disclosure/discovery and inspection of documents in respect of (a) parties to the action, and (b) non-parties to the action?

The discovery obligations on parties to Cayman Islands proceedings are broad. The parties are required to give discovery of all documents

that are or have been in their possession, custody or power relevant to the issues in dispute. Documents are considered relevant for these purposes if they would either advance or damage a party's case or lead to a train of enquiry that would have that result. A party is also required to disclose relevant documents held by others which are under their control, or where the party has a right to obtain relevant documents from a non-party to the proceedings.

Any party may issue a subpoena on a third-party witness located in the Cayman Islands requiring them to come to court to produce specific documents. Only documents which are directly relevant to the issues in the case can be sought in this way. The Court may also issue letters of request to foreign judicial authorities and make orders for the appointment of examiners to facilitate the examination of witnesses and the production of documents by witnesses located outside the Cayman Islands.

Where a party is required to disclose documents in proceedings which contain confidential information belonging to another person falling within the Confidential Relationships (Preservation) Law, unless the person to whom the duty of confidence is owed consents to the disclosure, the party is required to apply to the Court for directions. The Court will permit the disclosure where it is satisfied that doing so will serve the interests of justice.

---

#### **4.2 Can a party withhold from disclosure documents (a) relating to advice given by lawyers, or (b) prepared in contemplation of litigation, or (c) produced in the course of settlement negotiations/attempts?**

---

Yes. The recognised categories of privilege which entitle a party to withhold documents from disclosure in proceedings include:

- (a) Legal professional privilege, which comprises:
  - (i) legal advice privilege, which applies to documents recording communications between lawyers and clients for the purpose of obtaining or providing legal advice; and
  - (ii) litigation privilege, which applies to documents created for the dominant purpose of litigation which is in contemplation or in progress at the time the document is created.
- (b) Without prejudice privilege, which applies to communications between the parties or between their lawyers, comprising negotiations to settle a dispute.

---

#### **4.3 Do the courts have powers to require witnesses to give evidence either before or at the final hearing?**

---

In addition to the power referred to in the answer to question 4.1 above, to serve a subpoena upon a witness to produce documents, a party may issue and serve a subpoena upon a witness located in the Cayman Islands requiring their attendance to give oral evidence at the trial.

The Court also has the power to order the pre-trial examination of witnesses by deposition. Whilst that power is not used particularly frequently, it is available for use in appropriate cases.

---

#### **4.4 Is evidence from witnesses allowed even if they are not present?**

---

Parties in commercial proceedings generally have the right to require witnesses to attend the trial in person to give oral evidence and be cross-examined, but where they do not exercise that right, the case will be decided on the basis of written affidavit or witness statement evidence alone.

Where a witness cannot attend the trial in person, the Court may permit the use of videoconferencing facilities to enable the witness to give their evidence remotely. Such arrangements are permitted where they will facilitate the efficient, fair and economic disposal of the proceedings.

---

#### **4.5 Are there any restrictions on calling expert witnesses? Is it common to have a court-appointed expert in addition or in place of party-appointed experts?**

---

A party who wishes to adduce expert evidence for use at trial may do so with the permission of the Court, or with the agreement of the other parties. In insurance and other commercial cases, the parties' attorneys will often in the first instance seek to agree whether expert evidence is to be permitted and, if so, the number of experts and the issues on which they are to give evidence. Alternatively, or in the absence of agreement, any party may seek directions from the Court in relation to expert evidence at a case management hearing during the interlocutory stage of the proceedings.

As an alternative to the parties engaging separate experts, the Court may, on the application of any party, appoint an independent expert or experts to enquire and report upon any relevant questions of fact or opinion. If possible, such a court-appointed expert should be a person agreed between the parties, and the questions for the expert are to be agreed between the parties. Where the parties cannot agree on those issues, the Court may nominate the expert and settle the questions for the expert.

---

#### **4.6 What sort of interim remedies are available from the courts?**

---

The most common interim remedies are:

- (a) injunctions preventing a party from carrying out, or requiring a party to carry out, specified actions;
- (b) freezing injunctions restraining a party from disposing of or dealing with certain assets and requiring the party to disclose information about its assets;
- (c) search orders requiring a party to permit persons to enter its premises and search for documents or other moveable property;
- (d) disclosure orders; and
- (e) orders appointing a receiver in relation to particular property.

These remedies can generally be granted both in support of Cayman Islands proceedings and in support of proceedings in another jurisdiction.

---

#### **4.7 Is there any right of appeal from the decisions of the courts of first instance? If so, on what general grounds? How many stages of appeal are there?**

---

Appeals from the Grand Court lie to the Cayman Islands Court of Appeal. Subject to certain restrictions, there is an automatic right of appeal to the Court of Appeal from any final decision of the Grand Court. In general, leave of the Grand Court or the Court of Appeal is required to appeal an interlocutory decision of the Grand Court. A further appeal from the Court of Appeal may then lie to the Privy Council in London. There is an automatic right of appeal to the Privy Council in civil proceedings from a final decision of the Court of Appeal where the matter in dispute is of the value of GBP 300 or more. Leave from the Court of Appeal or the Privy Council would generally be required in other types of case.

In general, the role of the appellate court is to determine whether the lower court erred in reaching its findings of fact, made an error of law or erred in the exercise of judicial discretion, by reference to the specific grounds of appeal identified by the appellant. The appeal does not involve a rehearing of the entire matter by the appellate court.

#### 4.8 Is interest generally recoverable in respect of claims? If so, what is the current rate?

Yes. The Grand Court may award both pre-judgment and post-judgment simple interest on judgments for debt and damages. Pre-judgment interest may be awarded at a rate not exceeding the prescribed rate for the currency in which the judgment is given set out in the Judgment Debts (Rates of Interest) Rules 1995, and post-judgment interest is awarded at the prescribed rate on the principal amount of the judgment debt. At the time of publication, the prescribed rate of interest in relation to US dollar judgments was 2.375 per cent.

#### 4.9 What are the standard rules regarding costs? Are there any potential costs advantages in making an offer to settle prior to trial?

The Court has wide discretion to make costs orders, both in relation to particular interlocutory applications and the proceedings overall. Whilst the successful party can generally expect to have a costs order made in its favour, the Court will consider all the circumstances of the case in deciding on the appropriate costs order, including:

- (a) the conduct of the parties;
- (b) any payment into court: a Defendant that admits liability for a sum less than that claimed by the Plaintiff can elect to pay the sum admitted into court. Where the Plaintiff fails to recover at trial more than the sum paid in, the Court may order the Plaintiff to pay the Defendant's costs from the date of the payment into court; and
- (c) any written settlement offer: where a payment into court cannot be made, a party may make a written offer to settle the proceedings which is expressed to be "without prejudice save as to costs". The Court will take such an offer into consideration in making a costs order.

Costs are usually quantified at the conclusion of the proceedings. Unless the parties agree on the amount of costs payable by the unsuccessful party, the amount will be determined through a separate procedure known as taxation.

#### 4.10 Can the courts compel the parties to mediate disputes? If so, do they exercise such powers?

No. The majority of commercial disputes in the Cayman Islands are resolved by litigation. There is no requirement for parties to attempt mediation prior to commencing proceedings and parties will not be compelled to do so after commencing proceedings.

Nevertheless, where the parties wish to attempt mediation, the Court is likely to facilitate their wishes by, for example, adjourning proceedings for a period of time to enable a mediation to take place.

#### 4.11 If a party refuses to a request to mediate, what consequences may follow?

The Court could in theory take a refusal to mediate into account as part of its overall assessment of the parties' conduct in the litigation when making a costs order at the conclusion of the proceedings.

However, a refusal to mediate alone is unlikely to be sufficient to warrant a costs order being made against a party.

## 5 Arbitration

### 5.1 What approach do the courts take in relation to arbitration and how far is the principle of party autonomy adopted by the courts? Are the courts able to intervene in the conduct of an arbitration? If so, on what grounds and does this happen in many cases?

The law relating to arbitration in the Cayman Islands has been substantially revised and modernised in recent years. In 2012, a new arbitration statute, the Arbitration Law, was enacted. The Arbitration Law is based upon the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration and the English Arbitration Act 1996. The principles on which the Arbitration Law is founded (as set out in section 3(3) of the Law) are:

- (a) the fair resolution of disputes by an impartial tribunal without undue delay or expense;
- (b) the freedom of the parties to agree how their disputes are resolved, subject only to such safeguards as are necessary in the public interest; and
- (c) limits on the scope for court intervention in arbitral proceedings.

The Arbitration Law allows parties the freedom to tailor arbitral proceedings according to their needs but also provides a set of default provisions which apply in the absence of agreement. There are certain mandatory provisions designed to protect the integrity of the arbitration process; for example, by ensuring that the tribunal maintains its impartiality and is not subject to any conflict of interest. In general, the Court's powers are limited to supporting and assisting with the arbitration process.

### 5.2 Is it necessary for a form of words to be put into a contract of (re)insurance to ensure that an arbitration clause will be enforceable? If so, what form of words is required?

The Cayman Islands legislation relating to both domestic and foreign arbitrations contains provisions concerning the recognition of arbitration agreements which are substantially the same as those in the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards 1958 (the "New York Convention").

The minimum requirements are that there is an agreement in writing contained in a document signed by the parties, or in an exchange of letters, electronic communications or another means of communication that provides a record of the agreement. No particular form of words is mandatory. The parties simply need to signify their intention to bind themselves to refer a particular dispute or a class of disputes to arbitration.

Beyond the minimum requirements, parties normally choose to include certain additional details in the arbitration clause such as the seat of the arbitration, the language of the arbitration and the number of arbitrators. There is a model arbitration clause which parties can use or adapt in the Schedule to the Arbitration Law.

### 5.3 Notwithstanding the inclusion of an express arbitration clause, is there any possibility that the courts will refuse to enforce such a clause?

The grounds on which the Court will refuse to enforce an arbitration clause by staying proceedings allegedly brought in

breach of the clause, whether the clause provides for domestic or foreign arbitration, are the same as those set out in the New York Convention. The Court will refuse enforcement of an arbitration clause where it finds that the clause is null and void, inoperative or incapable of being performed.

In some cases, there can be a question as to whether the dispute concerns a subject matter which is capable of settlement by arbitration. However, disputes arising under or in relation to contracts of insurance will not generally raise this issue. Even if the contract is avoided for material non-disclosure or misrepresentation, the arbitration clause may still be enforced as it is regarded as a separate agreement which is not invalidated simply because the contract in which it is found is held to be void.

---

#### **5.4 What interim forms of relief can be obtained in support of arbitration from the courts? Please give examples.**

---

In relation to arbitrations with a seat in the Cayman Islands, the Court's powers exercisable in support of arbitration include the power to make orders:

- (a) for security of costs;
- (b) for discovery of documents;
- (c) compelling witnesses to attend for oral examination and to produce documents; and
- (d) to secure the amount in dispute and prevent the dissipation of assets.

In urgent cases, the Court may grant orders preserving evidence or assets on the application of a party to arbitral proceedings. In non-urgent cases, the Court may grant other forms of relief, but only where the application has been made with the permission of the tribunal or the agreement of all parties. In either case, the Court may only act to the extent that the tribunal has no power or is unable to act.

In relation to arbitrations with a seat in the Cayman Islands or elsewhere, the Court has the same power of issuing interim measures relating to, for example, the preservation of evidence or assets, as it has in relation to court proceedings. In exercising that power, the Court is required to have regard to the specific principles of international arbitration.

---

#### **5.5 Is the arbitral tribunal legally bound to give detailed reasons for its award? If not, can the parties agree (in the arbitration clause or subsequently) that a reasoned award is required?**

---

The Arbitration Law requires that an arbitral award be made in writing, signed by the tribunal and contain the reasons upon which the award is based, unless the parties have agreed that reasons are not to be stated, or the award is an agreed award which records the terms of a settlement reached by the parties during the arbitration.

---

#### **5.6 Is there any right of appeal to the courts from the decision of an arbitral tribunal? If so, in what circumstances does the right arise?**

---

There are two avenues which may be open to a party to challenge an arbitral award made in the Cayman Islands.

First, a party may apply to the Court to set aside the award on the grounds set out in section 75 of the Arbitration Law. This right may not be excluded by agreement. The grounds include those found in Article V of the New York Convention and cover matters such as:

- (a) proper notice of the appointment of the tribunal or the arbitration proceedings was not given or a party was unable to present its case;
- (b) the composition of the tribunal was not in accordance with the parties' agreement or the Arbitration Law; and
- (c) the subject matter of the dispute was not capable of settlement by arbitration, or the award is contrary to public policy.

Secondly, a party may, with the permission of the Court, appeal on a question of law arising out of an arbitral award under section 76 of the Arbitration Law. This right may be excluded by agreement. Before it grants permission, the Court must be satisfied of certain matters including that: (i) the determination of the question will substantially affect the rights of one or more of the parties; (ii) the decision of the tribunal on the question is obviously wrong on the basis of its findings of fact or, in the case of a question of general public importance, the decision is at least open to serious doubt; and (iii) it is just and proper for the Court to determine the question in spite of the parties' agreement to arbitrate.



**Abraham Thoppil**

Maples and Calder  
PO Box 309, Uglan House  
Grand Cayman, KY1-1104  
Cayman Islands

*Tel:* +1 345 814 5366  
*Email:* [abraham.thoppil@maplesandcalder.com](mailto:abraham.thoppil@maplesandcalder.com)  
*URL:* [www.maplesandcalder.com](http://www.maplesandcalder.com)

Abraham Thoppil is a partner in the Cayman Islands office of Maples and Calder. His expertise covers a range of areas, including private equity, hedge funds, insurance and structured finance transactions. He also has extensive experience in all types of general corporate and commercial law; in particular, technology and intellectual property matters.

**Luke Stockdale**

Maples and Calder  
PO Box 309, Uglan House  
Grand Cayman, KY1-1104  
Cayman Islands

*Tel:* +1 345 814 5108  
*Email:* [luke.stockdale@maplesandcalder.com](mailto:luke.stockdale@maplesandcalder.com)  
*URL:* [www.maplesandcalder.com](http://www.maplesandcalder.com)

Luke Stockdale is an associate in the Cayman Islands office of Maples and Calder. He has a broad range of experience in commercial litigation with an emphasis on complex and multi-jurisdictional matters. Luke has worked across a range of industry sectors and has considerable experience in banking and finance and investment fund disputes, company law and trust disputes.

# MAPLES

With over 50 years in the industry and over 800 staff, Maples and Calder is a leading international law firm advising global financial, institutional, business and private clients on the laws of the Cayman Islands, Ireland and the British Virgin Islands.

Maples and Calder is known worldwide for the quality of its lawyers. This extensive experience, the depth of the team and a collegiate approach are main characteristics of the firm, enabling it to provide the highest quality legal advice on a wide range of transactions.

Maples and Calder offices are located in the British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Dubai, Dublin, Hong Kong, London and Singapore. The service provided is enhanced by the strong relationships the firm has developed. For fiduciary and fund services requirements, the firm provides a seamless "one stop shop" capability through its affiliate, MaplesFS.

## Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: [info@glgroup.co.uk](mailto:info@glgroup.co.uk)

[www.iclg.com](http://www.iclg.com)