

THE AVIATION LAW
REVIEW

EIGHTH EDITION

Editor
Sean Gates

THE LAWREVIEWS

THE AVIATION LAW
REVIEW

EIGHTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd
This article was first published in July 2020
For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor
Sean Gates

THE LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER

Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Joel Woods

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall

ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Olivia Budd, Katie Hodgetts, Reece Whelan

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE

Rebecca Mogridge

RESEARCH LEAD

Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR

Gavin Jordan

PRODUCTION AND OPERATIONS DIRECTOR

Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Martin Roach

SUBEDITOR

Carole McMurray

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom
by Law Business Research Ltd, London
Meridian House, 34–35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK
© 2020 Law Business Research Ltd
www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at July 2020, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed
to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-83862-435-4

Printed in Great Britain by
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire
Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ANJARWALLA & KHANNA LLP

AUGUSTA ABOGADOS

CALLENDERS & CO

CLYDE & CO LLP

COLIN BIGGERS & PAISLEY

CONYERS

DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF ADVOGADOS

GATES AVIATION LTD

GONDAR & ASOCIADOS

GRANDALL LAW FIRM (BEIJING)

IORDACHE PARTNERS

JAROLIM PARTNER RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

JIPYONG LLC

KENNEDYS

KROMANN REUMERT

MAPLES GROUP

PHOEBUS, CHRISTOS CLERIDES & ASSOCIATES LLC

RAJA, DARRYL & LOH

R&P LEGAL

SCHILLER RECHTSANWÄLTE AG

SHAHID LAW FIRM

S HOROWITZ & CO

SMATSA – SERBIA AND MONTENEGRO AIR TRAFFIC SERVICES LLC

SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS

URWANTSCHKY DANGEL BORST AND PARTNERS

CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	vii
<i>Sean Gates</i>	
Chapter 1	COVID-19 IMPACT ON AVIATION CONTRACTS..... 1
<i>Sean Gates, Dimitri de Bournonville, Joanna Langlade, Peter Urwantschky and Claudia Hess</i>	
Chapter 2	WILL COVID-19 CHANGE EU 261 – FOR BETTER OR WORSE? 5
<i>Sean Gates, Dimitri de Bournonville, Joanna Langlade, Peter Urwantschky and Claudia Hess</i>	
Chapter 3	ARGENTINA..... 8
<i>Ana Luisa Gondar</i>	
Chapter 4	AUSTRALIA..... 23
<i>Andrew Tulloch</i>	
Chapter 5	AUSTRIA..... 36
<i>Dieter Altenburger and Azra Dizdarevic</i>	
Chapter 6	BAHAMAS 49
<i>Llewellyn V Boyer-Cartwright</i>	
Chapter 7	BELGIUM 59
<i>Dimitri de Bournonville and Kim Verhaeghe</i>	
Chapter 8	BERMUDA 70
<i>Julie McLean and Angela Atherden</i>	
Chapter 9	BRAZIL..... 79
<i>Ana Luisa Castro Cunha Derenusson, Rita de Cassia Fernandes de Godoy, Isabel Sevzatian Silveira, Julia Gazineu Machado Sanches and Ingrid Santos Alves Rosa</i>	
Chapter 10	BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS 93
<i>Audrey M Robertson</i>	

Chapter 11	CAYMAN ISLANDS	97
	<i>Wanda Ebanks and Shari Howell</i>	
Chapter 12	CHINA.....	109
	<i>Gao Feng</i>	
Chapter 13	CYPRUS.....	120
	<i>Christos Clerides and Andrea Nicolaou</i>	
Chapter 14	DENMARK.....	133
	<i>Jens Rostock-Jensen and Jakob Dabl Mikkelsen</i>	
Chapter 15	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC.....	143
	<i>Rhina Marielle Martínez Brea and María Pía García Henríquez</i>	
Chapter 16	EGYPT	157
	<i>Tarek Badawy</i>	
Chapter 17	EUROPEAN UNION.....	168
	<i>Dimitri de Bournonville and Joanna Langlade</i>	
Chapter 18	FRANCE.....	190
	<i>Aurélia Cadain and Nicolas Bouckaert</i>	
Chapter 19	ISRAEL.....	203
	<i>Eyal Doron and Hugh Kowarsky</i>	
Chapter 20	ITALY	220
	<i>Anna Masutti</i>	
Chapter 21	JAPAN	240
	<i>Tomobiko Kamimura and Miki Kamiya</i>	
Chapter 22	KENYA.....	252
	<i>Sonal Sejpal and Fred Mogotu</i>	
Chapter 23	MALAYSIA	271
	<i>Chong Kok Seng and Chew Phye Keat</i>	
Chapter 24	ROMANIA	288
	<i>Adrian Iordache and Raluca Danciu</i>	

Contents

Chapter 25	RUSSIA	299
	<i>Alexandra Rodina</i>	
Chapter 26	SERBIA	312
	<i>Goran Petrović</i>	
Chapter 27	SOUTH KOREA	330
	<i>Chang Young Kwon, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn</i>	
Chapter 28	SPAIN.....	344
	<i>Sergi Giménez Binder</i>	
Chapter 29	SWITZERLAND	354
	<i>Heinrich Hempel and Daniel Maritz</i>	
Chapter 30	UNITED KINGDOM	367
	<i>Robert Lawson QC and Jess Harman</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	385
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS.....	403

PREFACE

I am delighted to continue to be associated with *The Aviation Law Review*, of which this is the eighth edition. Aviation continues to be among The Law Reviews' most successful publications; its readership has been vastly enhanced by making it accessible online to over 12,000 in-house counsel, as well as subscribers to Bloomberg Law and LexisNexis. This year I welcome new contributions from France, South Korea and Spain, plus two new chapters concerning covid-19, as well as extending my thanks and gratitude to our other new contributors and to our regular contributors for their continued support. Readers will appreciate that contributors voluntarily donate considerable time and effort needed to make these contributions as useful as possible to them. All contributors are selected based on their knowledge and experience in aviation law, and we are fortunate to enjoy their support.

Covid-19 is inevitably the focus of attention in our sector as in all others. The loss of life is the paramount concern and dominates one's thoughts. However, the commercial devastation also has consequences for the wellbeing of humanity given the financial damage it is wreaking, which is particularly pronounced in the travel industry. With airlines grounded by travel bans and the closure of airspace, all the participants in the industry at large are facing financial collapse as revenue disappears and fixed costs remain. Lessors still need to be paid, routine maintenance cannot be ignored, staff have to be paid or discharged, and even with the patchwork of governmental support around the world, there are bound to be many who fail and a few, not necessarily among the most efficient, that survive. At the time of writing, it is too early to forecast the landscape post pandemic, but it will certainly be changed forever, with probably the most significant impacts on leisure and regional carriage, the former being more expensive to address distancing practices and the latter with their smaller balance sheets being less able to withstand the loss of revenue.

Much has been written on the question of whether contractual liabilities will be impacted by the consequences of the pandemic, and in this edition I am pleased to have worked with colleagues in Belgium and Germany, to whom I extend my thanks, on articles addressing these issues and on EU 261. The latter is a work of the Commission in progress at the time of writing with short- and long-term discussions ongoing concerning the pernicious effects of this extensively juridically rewritten regulation. The outcome of those discussions is awaited, albeit with some dread!

When I last wrote this preface, the shocking B737 Max disaster was unfolding. The method of self-approval adopted by Boeing with the support of the FAA has been the subject of much criticism, the more so since approval by the FAA has routinely been followed by other regulators hitherto without serious challenge and because the FAA was the last, rather than the first, influential regulator to ground the type following the two fatal accidents. The consequences are still unfolding, but in the meantime, Boeing has managed to refinance itself

and continues to deal with the claims of airlines whose fleets were grounded pre pandemic. The intervention of that virus may have perversely given the company some relief from its continuing obligations, though the damage to its reputation for trustworthiness will take longer to repair, leaving Airbus in a much stronger position. In addition, the ending of the merger talks with Embraer may lead to the reemergence of the latter as challenger in at least the single aisle jet market. The Federal Bureau of Investigation continues its criminal investigation of the certification of the type, following the establishment of a grand jury investigation of the certification process and the investigations based on the embarrassing disclosures of emails from within Boeing graphically charting the recognition of their engineers of the unsafety of the type.

It is hoped EASA will reconsider its reliance on other regulators' type certificates, as well as any reliance it places on European manufacturers for type approval. The cost of adequate regulation in all jurisdictions must be met centrally, as was heavily recommended as long ago as 2000 in the Rand Institute's report 'Safety in the Skies' on the aviation accident investigation process. The appetite of the EU in this respect and the willingness of Member States to pay in the current financial and political environment, are not reliable grounds for optimism in this respect.

The impact of Brexit on European aviation remains unclear with the latest indications being that a comprehensive deal may not be reached, though an arrangement regarding traffic rights is likely to be made regardless. Major carriers are securing air operator certificates from within states in the EU, and some are also now ensuring they satisfy the European tests for majority ownership. How IAG manages its interests in BA and Iberia/Aer Lingus will be of particular interest.

The second European Aviation Environmental Report (EAER) was published last year and provided an updated assessment of the environmental performance of the aviation sector published in the first report of 2016. It reports that continued growth of the sector has produced economic benefits and connectivity within Europe and is stimulating investment in novel technology but recognised that the contribution of aviation activities to climate change, noise and air quality impacts had increased, thereby affecting the health and quality of life of European citizens. Indeed, air pollution has repeatedly been identified as a factor in covid-19. The impact of the pandemic on environmental pollution has been well documented, and the reduction in air travel has contributed to this. There is pressure to attempt to secure the environmental benefits of the lockdown on a more long-term basis, which might accelerate the development of new technologies. If Member States would stop pandering to solipsistic sectional national and labour interests to permit the true operation of the Single European Sky ATM Research (SESAR) programme, massive environmental advantages could be secured, but as usual incompetent short-termism seems likely to prevail in politics to the detriment of industry and the environment. It is hoped one day we will see an unfettered SESAR introduced, although the decision by the EU to prevent UK carriers from using carbon offsets does not suggest an overwhelming dedication to the environment.

The UK airline insolvency review was established by the Chancellor to research better ways to deal with the collapse of airlines following the numerous recent high profile airline bankruptcies of Monarch, Thomas Cook, Flybe and others. The review has now reported. The obvious solution adopted elsewhere of using the assets of the insolvent airline to repatriate its customers is one of the alternatives recommended and it is hoped, notwithstanding the current stasis in legislation in the UK for other reasons, will be one given urgent attention. The creation of a special administration regime changing the purpose of an

airline's administration to the repatriation of its passengers as a first priority over payment of creditors and ensuring payments of salaries and costs during rescue efforts would enormously mitigate the cost otherwise imposed on taxpayers via the UK government's current approach of arranging and paying for alternative air transport from other operators where inevitably the rates charged are at the highest end of the spectrum. The government has yet to publish a formal response. However, on 25 September 2019, in response to questions about the collapse of Thomas Cook, the Secretary of State for Transport, Grant Shapps, told the House that the government would be looking at the reforms proposed by the review. In a subsequent letter to Lilian Greenwood, Chair of the Transport Committee, the Secretary of State wrote that he was determined to bring in a better system for dealing with airline insolvency and repatriation. The Queen's Speech delivered on 14 October 2019 included proposals for legislation on airline insolvency. Subsequent events have of course delayed the process but hopefully when normal services are resumed this too will be addressed.

The pandemic has highlighted the benefits of drone technology with medical and other supplies being delivered to vulnerable individuals and population centres by use of the technology. Airport closures have of course ceased to be a factor in the current times, but seem likely to resume and possibly even increase, led by environmental groups seeking to address the perceived threat of the industry to the environment. Various jurisdictions are contemplating a range of responses including tighter regulations on the use of drones over a low mass, and registration and insurance requirements for operators of larger and commercial vehicles. New technologies to counter potentially disastrous encounters with commercial aircraft are being developed, but inevitably these solutions will be met by new challenges in the remotely piloted vehicle arms race.

Once again, I would like to extend my thanks to the many contributors to this volume and welcome those who have joined the group. Their studied, careful and insightful contributions are much appreciated by all those who now refer to *The Aviation Law Review* as one of their frontline resources.

Sean Gates

Gates Aviation Ltd
London
July 2020

CAYMAN ISLANDS

*Wanda Ebanks and Shari Howell*¹

I LOCAL REGISTRATION

i The regulator

The Civil Aviation Authority of the Cayman Islands (CAACI) is responsible for the regulation of the aviation industry within the Cayman Islands. A body corporate originally established under the Civil Aviation Authority of the Cayman Islands Law 1987 (the current law is the Civil Aviation Authority Law (2015 Revision)), this self-funding statutory authority is a revenue-generating operation for the Cayman Islands government.²

The CAACI's functions include those conferred on the Governor of the Cayman Islands by the Air Navigation (Overseas Territory) Order 2013 (ANOTO) and other similar regulations.³ The CAACI's authority covers all aspects of regulation and supervision of the aviation sector within the jurisdiction, including aircraft registration through the Cayman Islands Aircraft Registry operated by the CAACI (the Registry), safety of air navigation and aircraft (including airworthiness), regulation of air traffic, certification of operators of aircraft, licensing of air crews, licensing of air transportation services and certification and licensing of airports.⁴ The CAACI is also responsible for ensuring that civil aviation in the Islands conforms to the standards of the International Civil Aviation Organization, established by the Convention on International Civil Aviation, signed in Chicago on 7 December 1944 (ICAO).

The CAACI is headed by a director general and a statutorily appointed board of directors. The board is responsible for the effective implementation and performance of the CAACI in accordance with applicable law.⁵

The register maintained by the Registry (the Aircraft Register) is primarily a 'private-use category' register and aircraft registered thereon must not be used for commercial operations (i.e., for 'hire or reward') unless a separate air operator's certificate (AOC) is granted.⁶ Despite its relatively small size,⁷ the Registry has evolved as a highly regarded private aircraft registry.

1 Wanda Ebanks is a partner and Shari Howell is of counsel at Maples Group.

2 CAACI revenues are generated from regulatory activities and the registration of aircraft (private and corporate) on the Cayman Islands Aircraft Registry. The CAACI's latest annual report indicates that 2016–2017 was another successful year for the CAACI.

3 Civil Aviation Authority Law (2015 Revision), Section 5(1)(a).

4 *ibid.* Section 5(1)(a).

5 Civil Aviation Authority Law (2015 Revision), Section 7(1).

6 Only six approved AOC holders are reported in the 2017 CAACI Annual Report.

7 As at 22 May 2020, the CAACI records indicated a total of 268 aircraft were registered on the Cayman Islands Aircraft Registry (Active Aircraft Register on CAACI website at www.caacayman.com).

ii Registration of aircraft

Requirements relating to the registration of aircraft are fully set out in the ANOTO.

Eligibility for registration

To register an aircraft with the Registry, the owner, or, if the aircraft is chartered, the charterer by demise, must be a 'qualified person' as defined in the ANOTO.

A qualified person includes:

- a* the Crown in right of Her Majesty's government in the United Kingdom or in right of the government of the territory;
- b* United Kingdom nationals;
- c* Commonwealth citizens;
- d* nationals of any European Economic Area state;
- e* bodies incorporated in any part of the Commonwealth and that have their registered office or principal place of business in any part of the Commonwealth; or
- f* undertakings formed in accordance with the law of a European Economic Area state and that have their registered office, central administration or principal place of business within the European Economic Area.⁸

An unqualified person holding a legal or beneficial interest in an aircraft or a share therein may still register an aircraft if he or she resides or has a place of business in the Cayman Islands and the CAACI is satisfied that the aircraft may properly be registered. Similarly, if the aircraft is chartered by demise (whether by dry or wet lease) to a qualified person the CAACI may permit registration, irrespective of whether an unqualified person is entitled as owner to a legal or beneficial interest in an aircraft or a share in the aircraft. Both of the foregoing exceptions are subject to the discretion of the CAACI and the full facts and circumstances must be presented to the CAACI before any such registration will be considered.

The ANOTO also provides that an aircraft shall not be registered or continue to be registered in the Cayman Islands if it appears to the Registry that:

- a* the aircraft is registered outside the Cayman Islands and that registration does not cease by operation of law upon the aircraft being registered in the Cayman Islands;
- b* an unqualified person holds any legal or beneficial interest in the aircraft;
- c* the aircraft could more suitably be registered in some other state (including the United Kingdom and its territories and dependencies) that is a party to the ICAO; or
- d* it would be inexpedient or in the public interest for the aircraft to be or to continue to be registered in the Cayman Islands.

Requirements for registration of aircraft for private use

Applications for registration are made to the CAACI and applicants can typically take advantage of the CAACI's online portal, VP-C Online, to submit much of the documentation supporting the application.

The application process is as follows:

- a* submission of an aircraft registration application to the Registry and payment of deposit;
- b* satisfactory completion of financial and legal due diligence with respect to the applicant;

⁸ Air Navigation (Overseas Territories) Order 2013, Article 16(1).

- c* issuance by the Registry of (1) notice of acceptance of the applicant, and (2) a reserved Cayman Islands registration mark;
- d* completion of airworthiness survey of aircraft by a CAACI surveyor;
- e* completion and submission of supporting documentation (including various technical forms); and
- f* effecting deregistration from existing state of registry (if applicable).

A Cayman Islands certificate of registration, certificate of airworthiness and all associated certification documents will be issued by the Registry on registration.

Requirements for registration of aircraft for commercial operations

An application for a Cayman Islands AOC permitting the holder to undertake commercial operations requires provision of certain information, including the following:

- a* the official name, address and telephone number of the applicant;
- b* the types, serial numbers and registration marks of each aircraft for which a certificate is required;
- c* the purpose for which the aircraft will be operated;
- d* the specific location of the principal operating base and any other places at which the aircraft will be operated or based;
- e* the names and addresses of organisations responsible for all maintenance of each type of aircraft;
- f* the names, qualifications and experience of the accountable manager and nominated post holders and details of the duties for which each individual is responsible (with résumés); and
- g* the names, qualifications and experience of persons nominated to be responsible for conducting on behalf of the operator, the training and assessments specified in the relevant legislation.⁹

In addition, the CAACI requires that the holder of a Cayman Islands AOC operate a Cayman Islands office. A physical presence can be established by means of a Cayman Islands Special Economic Zone company in the Cayman Maritime and Aviation Services Park. An applicant for an AOC is encouraged to seek Cayman Islands legal advice on setting up a physical presence in the Cayman Islands to meet this requirement.

Fees payable on registration of aircraft

The fees payable on registration of aircraft are set out in the Air Navigation (Fees) Regulations, 2010. A summary of these may be found on the CAACI's website at: www.caacayman.com.

Effect of registration

The registration of title to the aircraft constitutes prima facie evidence of ownership of the aircraft. However, such evidence is not conclusive. The ANOTO provides that, to the extent its provisions apply to Cayman Islands aircraft, such provisions have extraterritorial effect.¹⁰

⁹ More detailed information is available from the CAACI directly.

¹⁰ Air Navigation (Overseas Territories) Order 2013, Article 188(1).

No registration of leases

Leases are not required to be registered with the Registry in relation to Cayman Islands registered aircraft and the law of the Cayman Islands does not otherwise provide for their registration by filing or recording in the Cayman Islands.

Deregistration of aircraft registered with the Registry

When it becomes necessary to deregister an aircraft from the Registry (following a sale or otherwise):

- a* the registered owner¹¹ or the person responsible for the aircraft, must provide the CAACI with instructions to deregister the aircraft;
- b* the registered owner's financial account with the CAACI must be fully settled;
- c* if a certificate of airworthiness for export is required by the importing state, a CAACI surveyor must inspect the aircraft prior to issuance. To initiate this process a certificate of airworthiness request form must be submitted to the CAACI;
- d* the original certificate of registration must be submitted to the CAACI, with Section III on the reverse side signed by the registered owner of the aircraft or the person responsible for the aircraft (accompanied by the related certified power of attorney in the latter case);
- e* if an aircraft has a mortgage registered against it on the aircraft mortgage register maintained by the Registry (the Mortgage Register), the mortgagee must confirm in writing to the Registry how the mortgage is to be addressed following deregistration. If the mortgage is to be discharged, this must be effected prior to or simultaneously with deregistration. If not, the CAACI will require a certified or notarised confirmation letter from the mortgagee that:
 - the mortgage will not be discharged;
 - the mortgage remains in force; and
 - a notation will remain on the Mortgage Register; and
- f* if necessary, the CAACI will confirm to the new state of registry that the aircraft is being or has been deregistered from the Register.

In a default enforcement scenario, the above deregistration procedure applies save that the following will also be required:

- a* a notarised or original deregistration power of attorney (in favour of the person seeking to instruct the CAACI);
- b* proof of default under the agreement giving rise to the right to deregister the aircraft and the details thereof;
- c* proof of right to deregister the aircraft in an event of default (i.e., reference to the relevant section of the agreement);
- d* confirmation that the adversely affected party is seeking to enforce its rights under the agreement; and
- e* if the enforcement is contentious and the original certificate of registration cannot be obtained from the owner, an affidavit by the adversely affected party confirming that to be the case and requesting deregistration of the aircraft indicating the new state of registry.

¹¹ References to 'registered owner' mean either the owner or the charterer by demise (as relevant).

iii Security and aircraft mortgages

Aircraft mortgages may be governed by the law chosen by the parties. If the parties agree that it will be governed by foreign law, the Cayman Islands courts will uphold contractual terms to that effect unless the selection of the governing law was (1) made in bad faith, (2) illegal or contrary to the public policy of the Cayman Islands, or (3) would not be regarded as a valid and binding selection or be upheld by the courts of the foreign jurisdiction selected.

A mortgage in relation to an aircraft registered in the Cayman Islands may be registered in the Mortgage Register to secure the benefit of priority.

The Cape Town Convention (referenced below) came into force in the Cayman Islands on 1 November 2015 pursuant to the International Interests in Mobile Equipment (Cape Town Convention) Law, 2015 (the Cape Town Law). At present under Cayman Islands law, there exists a dual system for perfection and priority of security interests over Cayman Islands registered aircraft for entities that qualify as follows:

- a* where there is a registerable 'international interest' under the Cape Town Convention (as defined in the Cape Town Law), any such international interest in respect of an aircraft may be recorded on the international registration facilities established under the Cape Town Convention (the IR). Registration on the IR is permitted for aircraft that qualify under the Cape Town Convention. Cape Town registrations and filings on the IR are made in the usual way. Where an international interest has been registered against an aircraft that is registered with the CAACI in accordance with the Cape Town Convention, priority of a mortgage over that aircraft will be determined solely by the filings on the IR. No additional registrations are required with the CAACI in relation to a mortgage over such aircraft; or
- b* if the Cape Town Convention does not apply, then the priority of a registered mortgage against Cayman Islands registered aircraft will be determined in accordance with registration on the Mortgage Register pursuant to the Mortgaging of Aircraft Regulations, 2015 (the Regulations). The Regulations, among other things, offer a system for obtaining priority for a security interest, perfecting the security interest and protection from deregistration of an aircraft without the registered mortgagee's consent. Registration on the Mortgage Register constitutes express notice to all persons of all facts appearing thereon.

Requirements to register a mortgage with the CAACI

To register a mortgage on the Mortgage Register:

- a* a completed or executed application form must be submitted on behalf of the mortgagee;
- b* the application must be accompanied by a copy of the mortgage (a PDF copy is sufficient and advisable since Cayman Islands stamp duty becomes payable if the original mortgage is brought to or executed in the Cayman Islands);¹² and
- c* payment of the applicable mortgage registration fee must be made.

¹² *Ad valorem* duty at the rate of 1.5 per cent of the sum secured is payable if the original mortgage is executed in or brought to the Cayman Islands following execution.

It is also possible to file a priority notice with the Registry by filing the applicable documentation and payment of the relevant fee. Provided the relevant mortgage is filed within 14 days of the date of such a priority notice it shall be deemed to have priority from the time when the priority notice was registered.

Under the current legislation, an international interest (as defined in the Cape Town Law) registered on the IR has priority over any other interest subsequently registered on the IR and over an interest that is not registered on the IR, subject to certain exceptions.¹³

Discharge of mortgage registered with the CAACI

The following is the procedure to effect deregistration of a mortgage with the CAACI:

- a* submission of a mortgage discharge form signed by the mortgagor and mortgagee (together with copies of signing authorities);
- b* provision of a copy of a fully executed deed of release of mortgage. Alternatively, a letter addressed to the CAACI signed by an authorised signatory of the mortgagee instructing the CAACI to deregister the mortgage will suffice; and
- c* payment of the applicable mortgage discharge fee.

Creditor rights

The courts of the Cayman Islands will enforce a foreign money judgment made against the owner or charterer by demise of a Cayman Islands registered aircraft without a retrial of the merits provided the judgment: (1) is made by a foreign court of competent jurisdiction; (2) imposes on the judgment debtor a liability to pay a liquidated sum for which the judgment has been given; (3) is final; (4) is not in respect of taxes, a fine or a penalty; (5) is not impeachable on the grounds of fraud; and (6) does not offend natural justice or the public policy of the Cayman Islands.¹⁴

The judgment creditor holding an enforceable foreign judgment has a wide range of options to enforce the judgment against the debtor. These include: (1) writs of *fi. fa.* (i.e., seizure and sale of goods); (2) charging orders in respect of land and securities; (3) garnishee orders (i.e., attachment of debts including bank deposits); (4) appointment of a receiver (who might collect receivables or even run a business); or (5) an attachment of earnings order.

Recent English authorities (which are persuasive, although not binding on the Cayman Islands courts) suggest that foreign judgments that are integral to bankruptcy proceedings may be enforceable without satisfying the usual requirements set out at the first paragraph above and without the need to embark on fresh proceedings in the Cayman Islands. However, these authorities have yet to be fully considered by the Cayman Islands courts.

13 See Sections 3 and 4 of the International Interests in Mobile Equipment (Cape Town Convention) Law, 2015.

14 In any application to exercise enforcement options under Cayman Islands law, the judgment creditor will need to establish the factors outlined above, to the satisfaction of the Cayman Islands court.

Assignment of security rights

Security interests may be assigned under Cayman Islands law. If an assignment is to be governed by Cayman Islands law, it should be in writing and notice of the assignment must be given to the debtor to perfect the assignment. If the document creating the security interest is brought to, or executed in, the Cayman Islands, it must be stamped with applicable Cayman Islands stamp duty.

A Cayman Islands company must make an entry in its register of mortgages and charges in respect of all mortgages and charges created by it under any transaction documents to comply with local law; failure by the company to comply with this requirement does not operate to invalidate any mortgage or charge though it may be in the interests of the secured parties that the company should comply with the statutory requirements. The register of mortgages and charges is not a public document and is maintained by the company's registered office in the Cayman Islands on the company's minute book.

Enforcement of security over aircraft registered in Cayman Islands¹⁵

Enforcement will be determined by the provisions of the relevant agreement.

Taking physical possession of the aircraft is permitted under Cayman Islands law. Self-help remedies are permitted without the need to obtain a court order; however, it is open to the relevant enforcing party to seek a court order.

Permission of the CAACI is not required prior to pursuing remedies on enforcement. However, possession via either a transfer of title or change of details of the entity registered with the CAACI will require the cooperation of the CAACI (and thus compliance with CAACI's transfer formalities for Cayman-registered aircraft).

Liens and rights of detention

Liens are not registrable in the Cayman Islands.

It is commonly understood¹⁶ that the following aircraft liens exist under Cayman Islands law:

- a* seller's lien – pursuant to the Sale of Goods Law (1997 Revision), an unpaid seller may have a lien over an aircraft to the extent that the buyer fails to pay the purchase price;
- b* salvage lien – based on the principle that a person providing voluntary assistance should recover their costs prior to the other parties with an interest in vessels;¹⁷
- c* possessory lien – a common law legal lien relating to specific aircraft. Applicable where a person carried out work on an aircraft, upon authorisation from its owner, enhancing the aircraft's value. That person will have a lien on the aircraft to the extent that they remain unpaid for the work carried out on the aircraft; and
- d* contractual lien (including pledge) – parties may create a lien by contract that is 'certain', regardless of whether a possessory lien exists at common law. The owner of an

15 Enforcement by a Cayman Islands court requires originals of the relevant documents (with Cayman Islands stamp duty paid thereon), and applicable court fees to be paid.

16 It is not possible to be definitive since no legislation and, to the best of our knowledge, no Cayman Islands case law has analysed aircraft liens in detail.

17 The absence of relevant cases makes it uncertain whether an aircraft salvage lien can be asserted in the Cayman Islands.

aircraft may pledge it to the creditor as security for a debt, or a lien may arise as a result of a person expending labour on an aircraft, which improves its value in some way in accordance with a contractual agreement, or a contractual salvage lien may also arise.

The Cayman Islands are not a signatory to international conventions that relate to aircraft liens.¹⁸ However, the Cayman Islands court will recognise a foreign aircraft lien provided it is valid under its appropriate governing law, subject to qualifications relating to enforceability being met.

In addition to aircraft liens, under the Cayman Islands legislation persons can be granted a right to detention. In the event that more than one detention right exists over an aircraft at the same time, their priority will likely be determined according to the time each contravention occurred. In addition to the statutory rights to detain aircraft, detention may also arise as result of a breach of contract or in a case where an attachment of an aircraft is sought (e.g., for the non-payment of a debt or on the liquidation or insolvency of the owning company). Statutory detention rights are generally not based on possession and do not seek to prevent other parties with an interest in the aircraft from having access to it.

Under Cayman Islands law, persons are granted a right to sell (or detain) an aircraft for:

- a* Airport charges: aircraft can be detained and sold for non-payment of airport charges; default of payment creates a statutory lien.¹⁹
- b* Customs: where anything becomes liable to forfeiture under the Customs Law (2017 Revision), any aircraft used for the carriage, handling, deposit or concealment of that thing shall also be liable to forfeiture. Forfeiture of an aircraft may also occur where it has been adapted to be used for or is used for the purposes of smuggling or concealing goods.²⁰
- c* Crimes: where a person is convicted of an offence, any aircraft in his or her possession or under his or her control that was used in connection with such an offence or intended to be used for that purpose may be forfeited to the Crown by order of the court.²¹
- d* War or national emergency: regulations made under the Emergency Powers Law (2006 Revision) can give powers to the Governor of the Cayman Islands to authorise the taking possession or acquisition of any property.²²
- e* Terrorism: the court can make a forfeiture order in accordance with Section 28 of the Terrorism Law (2018 Revision).

The priority of domestic aircraft liens and detention rights will be in the following order:

- a* statutory detention rights;
- b* contractual lien;
- c* salvage lien;
- d* possessory lien;

18 The 1948 Geneva Convention on the International Recognition of Rights in Aircraft or the 1933 Rome Convention on Precautionary Arrest of Aircraft.

19 Airports Authority Law (2005 Revision) Section 34.

20 Customs Law (2017 Revision) Section 61.

21 Misuse of Drugs Law (2017 Revision) Section 25(2). Note also that the court has broad powers under the Proceeds of Crime Law (2020 Revision) to order the confiscation of property derived from the proceeds of criminal conduct. (Applications for compensation in these situations are dealt with thereunder.)

22 Emergency Powers Law (2006 Revision) Section 5(2)(b).

- e* registered mortgages; and
- f* unregistered mortgages.

The priority of foreign aircraft liens before a Cayman Islands court will be determined by Cayman Islands law, as the law of the forum deciding the matter (the *lex fori*), since the question of priority is a procedural rather than a substantive matter under Cayman Islands law.

iv Strict liability under Cayman Islands law

The owner of an aircraft registered with the CAACI is subject to Section 40(2) of the Civil Aviation Act 1949 (as extended to the Cayman Islands by the Civil Aviation Act 1949 (Overseas Territories) Order 1969), which states that:

Where material loss or damage is caused to any person or property on land or water by, or by a person in, or an article or person falling from, an aircraft while in flight, taking off or landing, then unless the loss or damage was caused or contributed to by the negligence of the person by whom it was suffered, damages in respect of the loss or damage shall be recoverable without proof of negligence or intention or other cause of action, as if the loss or damage had been caused by the wilful act, neglect, or default of the owner of the aircraft:

Provided that where material loss or damage is caused as aforesaid in circumstances in which:

- a damages are recoverable in respect of the said loss or damage by virtue only of the foregoing provisions of this subsection; and*
 - b a legal liability is created in some person other than the owner to pay damages in respect of the said loss or damage;*
- the owner shall be entitled to be indemnified by that other person against any claim in respect of the said loss or damage.*

The normal exemption on which a passive owner relies is contained in Section 76(4) of the Civil Aviation Act 1982 as extended to the Cayman Islands by the Civil Aviation Act 1982 (Overseas Territories) (No. 2) Order 2001, which states that:

Where an aircraft has been bona fide demised, let or hired out for any period exceeding fourteen days to any other person by the owner thereof, and no pilot, commander, navigator or operative member of the crew of the aircraft is in the employment of the owner, Section 40(2) of the Civil Aviation Act 1949 (as extended by the Civil Aviation Act 1949 (Overseas Territories) Order 1969 to any of the Territories specified in Schedule 2 to this Order) shall have effect as if for references to the owner of the aircraft there were substituted references to the person to whom the aircraft has been so demised, let or hired out.

v Emerging trends

Transition Register

The CAACI is able to accommodate registration of aircraft on the Aircraft Register for short periods; for example, during the fit-out stage following a 'green delivery' or following termination of a lease, repossession by a mortgagee or otherwise. The applicant must qualify to register an aircraft on the Aircraft Register as discussed above. In lieu of a certificate of airworthiness, the CAACI will issue special flight authorisations as may be required to transport the aircraft. One attractive feature of this offering is that the aircraft may be subject

to the financiers' registered security interest. Once the period specified for the registration is concluded, the aircraft can be deregistered and re-registered on an alternative register as may be required; for example, for commercial operations. The deregistration process is simple and low cost and can be completed on a same-day basis. It is important to note that the Aircraft Register is not a register for parked aircraft or aircraft at the end of their useful life.

Article 83 bis arrangements

The Registry is primarily for private use aircraft. Aircraft operating commercially may only register on the Aircraft Register if they are operating under an Article 83 *bis* agreement or an air operator's certificate (AOC).

The CAACI have been open to putting in place arrangements under Article 83 *bis* of ICAO, which permits the Registry to transfer all or part of its functions relating to oversight and operation to the state of operation of the aircraft. The Cayman Islands currently has an Article 83 *bis* arrangement with Saudi Arabia. This allows aircraft that are operated by certain operators in Saudi Arabia to be operated commercially although registered on the Aircraft Register.

II CROSS-BORDER FINANCING TRANSACTIONS

Although commercial aircraft are not commonly registered in, or operated out of the Cayman Islands, the jurisdiction plays an important role in the structuring of some of the more complex cross-border transactions used in the acquisition, financing and leasing of aircraft.

The Cayman Islands' long-established reputation for being politically stable, tax neutral and having a well-established legal system based on English common law principles has led to the jurisdiction's preferred status as a place to establish special purpose vehicles (SPVs) for owning or leasing aircraft.

The SPV will typically hold title to the aircraft. SPVs are flexible corporate structures that can be utilised either as a single-aircraft owning company or to hold multiple aircraft in a single entity. The acquisition of the aircraft by the SPV will most commonly be financed by way of a loan from a third-party lender, who will in turn take security over the aircraft in the form of an aircraft mortgage. Other typical features include the granting of security over lease payments in the structure and a charge or mortgage over the issued share capital of the SPV itself.

Although these traditional debt financing arrangements remain the norm, other alternative forms of financing are becoming increasingly common and in recent years there has been a marked increase in the number of aircraft financing transactions accessing the capital markets (e.g., through bond issuances; asset backed securitisations, use of the Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates (EETC) regime; or through direct equity injection from private equity firms).

There are two basic structures that are commonly used for the financing and leasing of aircraft through a Cayman Islands SPV: (1) an off-balance sheet, bankruptcy remote or 'orphan trust' structure; or (2) an on-balance sheet direct ownership structure.

A common advantage of both structures is the choice of the Cayman Islands as the jurisdiction of incorporation of the SPV. In both scenarios, the assets are held by a company incorporated in a first-class jurisdiction with a high degree of political and economic stability

and a familiar and trusted legal system. Financiers find this particularly attractive as they are comfortable that if an enforcement event arises, the financing documents will be capable of enforcement in a jurisdiction where the legal system is based on English common law.

Another key advantage for both structures is taxation. The Cayman Islands does not currently have any form of direct taxation and therefore payments made into or out of the Islands will not be subject to taxation, under Cayman Islands law. To give additional comfort on this point, the Cayman Islands government will on request provide an undertaking confirming that the SPV is exempt from direct taxation in the Cayman Islands for a period of 20 years from the date of the issuance of the undertaking.

i Off-balance sheet structures

In a typical Cayman Islands orphan trust structure: (1) the issued share capital of the SPV will be held by an offshore trust company as share trustee on charitable or purpose trusts; and (2) the directors of the SPV will be provided by a third-party corporate administrator (which is often the same entity as the share trustee) pursuant to the terms of an administration agreement entered into between the SPV, the administrator and the airline or leasing company.

The SPV will enter into the financing and leasing documents necessary to enable it to acquire the aircraft, and lease it to an end user (which is typically an airline).

To avoid either a breach of duty or the payment of significant transaction fees to the SPV to balance the commercial risk of the assumption of open-ended loan repayment obligations, the SPV limits its obligations both in amount and recourse to the value of the security granted by the SPV. As the SPV will grant security over all its material assets (namely, the aircraft and its rights under the lease) the lender is not being deprived of recourse against any significant asset.

Following termination of the transaction, the trust will terminate and the trust property (namely, the issued share capital and any transaction fees earned by the SPV net its expenses, (i.e., the net asset value of the SPV)) will be distributed by the trustees to one or more charities as the trust document provides.

A key attraction of this structure is that ownership of the aircraft does not vest with the airline but with the SPV, which holds title in an off-balance sheet capacity. This ensures that the SPV will not be consolidated on the balance sheet of the lender, airline or the trustee.

From the lender's perspective, the fact that the bankruptcy of the airline will not have an impact on the assets provides lenders a greater degree of control and certainty over the underlying assets that constitute the basis of their security. Additionally, as the SPV is entirely independent from both the lender and the airline, in a default scenario the lender is likely to experience a greater degree of cooperation from and (through the covenants in the financing documents) control over the SPV.

ii On-balance sheet structures

In a typical on-balance sheet structure, either the airline or operator, or the financier, will establish the SPV directly and will hold the shares in the SPV themselves (rather than these being held on the terms of a charitable or purpose trust). The directors are also commonly employees or nominees of the shareholder (although it is not uncommon for one or more of the directors to be provided by a third-party corporate administrator to act as an 'independent director').

The choice of structure will depend on a number of factors including the jurisdiction where the airline is incorporated, the jurisdiction in which the aircraft will be operated, the desired tax treatment of the overall structure and the needs of the financiers.

The on-balance sheet structure lacks bankruptcy-remote characteristics and there will be implications up and down the chain upon a default or winding up of one or other of the parties. There is also not the same protection from consolidation as that offered in an orphan structure as the assets of the SPV are likely to be treated as being consolidated onto the balance sheet of the parent shareholder.

III EMERGING TRENDS

At the time of writing of this chapter, half of the world's population remained in lockdown due to the global pandemic known as covid-19. It is difficult to predict how the industry will react once restrictions (travel and otherwise) are lifted. Prior to the pandemic, there existed a strong interest in alternative sources of funding for aviation financing transactions, and the trend for using transaction structures that allow airlines and lessors to access the capital markets. Asset-backed securitisation platforms structured using Cayman Islands incorporated Irish tax resident issuers to issue notes, the proceeds of which are used to acquire an underlying portfolio of aircraft, remain popular. Even where the issuer vehicles are not incorporated in the Cayman Islands, many of the issuer vehicles are taking advantage of the flexible and user-friendly listing regime of the Cayman Islands stock exchange to list the notes and other securities.

The CAACI offers a novel option to lessors and financiers requiring a reputable register to facilitate the temporary registration of aircraft that are transitioning between leases or that have been repossessed. The CAACI will facilitate the temporary registration of an aircraft on the Aircraft Register until the aircraft can be transitioned to the next phase of its useful life, be that the sale, lease, remarketing of the aircraft or otherwise.

IV THE YEAR IN REVIEW

i Cayman Maritime & Aviation City

The Cayman Islands has distinguished itself from other special economic zones and favourable tax jurisdictions with the addition of the Cayman Maritime & Aviation City to the special economic zone, provided by Cayman Enterprise City, which is designed to make it easier for aviation services providers, including commercial air transport operators, aerospace developers and manufacturers to set up a physical presence in the Cayman Islands.

ii Registration of Aircraft Operating Commercially and Transition Register

The ability to take advantage of the opportunities to set up a business in the Cayman Maritime & Aviation City to, among other things, obtain an AOC and the innovative offering for the temporary register of aircraft during a transition process are very attractive features of the Aircraft Register, which continue to draw financiers and owners alike to registering aircraft in the Cayman Islands.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

WANDA EBANKS

Maples Group

Wanda Ebanks is a partner with the finance team at Maples and Calder, the Maples Group's law firm, where she specialises in repackaging and structured finance transactions. Her area of practice includes general corporate and commercial matters, ship and aircraft finance and registration, licensing and equity formation, as well as local licensing and operations. Wanda also has experience working with export credit agencies in Europe and Latin America, and with airlines globally in the set-up and operation of Cayman Islands special purpose vehicles to participate in aircraft lease financing transactions.

SHARI HOWELL

Maples Group

Shari Howell is of counsel with the finance team at Maples and Calder, the Maples Group's law firm. She has extensive experience advising on asset finance transactions with an emphasis on aircraft financing and leasing transactions, including transactions with a capital markets or Islamic element, aircraft registrations, vessel financing and registrations, and general corporate commercial matters. She principally advises commercial banks, financial institutions, European export credit agencies, airlines and aircraft lessors.

MAPLES GROUP

PO Box 309
Ugland House
South Church Street
George Town
Grand Cayman KY1-1104
Cayman Islands
Tel: +1 345 949 8066
Fax: +1 345 949 8080
wanda.ebanks@maples.com
shari.howell@maples.com
www.maples.com

an LBR business

ISBN 978-1-83862-435-4