

**Impact Analysis Table**

Key Changes	New Requirements	Our Analysis and Recommended Measures
<p><b>Documented "Business Risk Assessment"</b></p>	<p>"Designated Persons"<sup>1</sup> are required to perform a business risk assessment to identify and assess the risks of ML/TF involved in carrying out their business activities. This must consider factors including: (i) type of customer; (ii) type of product; (iii) geographical risk; (iv) type of transaction; (v) delivery channel; and (vi) other risks.</p> <p>The draft Guidelines (which, once finalised, are required to be factored into the business risk assessment) go into extensive detail on the types of risks that should be considered.</p> <p>The business risk assessment must be documented, kept up-to-date and approved by the Designated Person's senior management.</p>	<p>While this is a new requirement, there was formerly a requirement (in section 54) for Designated Persons to have policies and procedures on the assessment and management of ML/TF risks and relevant Irish AML guidance provided additional detail on the risk assessment framework. Therefore, many funds will already have a documented risk assessment in their AML policy and procedures.</p> <p>However, it is likely that funds will need to enhance the form and substance of their documented business risk assessment.</p>
<p><b>Policies and Procedures</b></p>	<p>The CJA 2018 prescribes a detailed list of elements to be covered in a Designated Person's internal policies, controls and procedures, including the following new elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How the firm identifies, assesses, mitigates and manages the risks of ML/TF;</li> <li>• Its customer due diligence ("CDD") measures;</li> <li>• Procedures to monitor transactions and relationships;</li> <li>• Suspicious transactions reporting;</li> </ul>	<p>Funds will need to analyse each new element and update their AML/CTF policy and procedures to appropriately capture these.</p>

<sup>1</sup> This is a collective term used in the CJAs to identify entities that are subject to the CJAs. This includes "Collective Investment Undertakings" which in turn, under the CJA 2018, now includes specifically: (i) UCITS; (ii) AIFs; (iii) UCITS management companies; and (iv) AIFMs.

## UPDATE

Key Changes	New Requirements	Our Analysis and Recommended Measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Record keeping;</li> <li>• Document retention;</li> <li>• Systems and controls to identify emerging risks; and</li> <li>• Ongoing compliance controls in support of the policies, controls and procedures.</li> </ul> <p>The policies, controls and procedures adopted must be approved by the Designated Person's senior management and kept up-to-date.</p>	
<b>Risk Based Approach to CDD</b>	<p>A range of changes have been made on how Designated Persons should establish and verify the identity of their customers (i.e. perform CDD), mandating a greater focus on the ML/TF risk assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Designated Persons must identify and assess the risks of ML/TF when carrying out CDD. This must include having regard to the business risk assessment and any relevant "risk variables";</li> <li>• Designated Persons, as well as carrying out CDD on the customer and any connected beneficial owner, must now also carry out CDD on the person representing the customer;</li> <li>• Under the former framework, there were exemptions and simplified due diligence could be applied automatically to "specified customers", for example, financial institutions, listed companies and public bodies. Under section 34A, these exemptions have fallen away and a risk assessment will need to be performed on each investor to determine their risk rating and level of CDD to be applied;</li> <li>• Subject to certain exceptions, enhanced CDD is to be applied to customers in high-risk third countries and where relationships/transactions present a higher degree of risk of ML/TF.</li> </ul>	<p>It is acknowledged that, in most cases, the actual task of carrying out CDD on investors will be outsourced to the administrator.</p> <p>However, the arrangement with the administrator (and how this is reflected in the fund's AML/CTF policy) will need to be reviewed to ensure that it complies with the enhanced measures and there is an appropriate level of oversight. Similar changes will need to be considered to the Money Laundering Reporting Officer ("MLRO") role.</p> <p>Practical examples of areas to focus on in this respect include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The process to be followed when an investor has failed to provide the required CDD documentation, including consideration of the process to "discontinue the business relationship" in accordance with the CJAs and relevant Central Bank guidance;</li> <li>• The process in place where a third party carries out CDD and this is relied on by the fund, in accordance with the CJAs and the Guidelines.</li> </ul>

## UPDATE

Key Changes	New Requirements	Our Analysis and Recommended Measures
<b>New Ongoing Monitoring Requirements and Wider Scope of PEPs</b>	<p>Designated Persons must monitor business relationships to the extent reasonably warranted by the risk of ML/TF. Such monitoring activity shall include scrutinising transactions and the source of wealth and source of funds to determine if they are consistent with the customer, their business patterns and risk profile.</p> <p>Designated Persons must undertake (i.e. re-do) CDD at any time where customers' circumstances have changed, where the risk of ML/TF warrants it.</p> <p>Designated Persons are also required to examine the background and purpose for (i) all complex or unusually large transactions; and (ii) all unusual patterns of transactions which have no apparent economic or lawful purpose, in order to determine whether they appear suspicious.</p> <p>The CJA 2018 has extended the definition of a politically exposed person ("PEP") to include domestic PEPs. Previously the requirement to apply enhanced CDD measures only applied to PEPs residing outside Ireland.</p>	<p>Similar to the section immediately above, the arrangement in place with the administrator (and how this is reflected in the fund's AML/CTF policy) will need to be reviewed to ensure that it complies with the enhanced measures and there is an appropriate level of oversight.</p>